1 regression and analysis of variance

Fitting a multiple regression model is done using lm(). For instance using the data set nlschools with variables lang for a language score on a standardized test and predictors IQ, GS for class size, and SES for a socio-economic factor we have the model outputL

```
> library(MASS)
> data(nlschools)
> res = lm(lang ~ IQ + SES + GS, data = nlschools)
> summary(res)
Call:
lm(formula = lang ~ IQ + SES + GS, data = nlschools)
Residuals:
     Min
                    Median
                                 ЗQ
                                         Max
               1Q
-28.1066 -4.4640
                    0.4572
                             4.9278 25.5800
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 8.96611
                        1.06739
                                  8.400
                                          <2e-16 ***
                        0.07430 32.376
IQ
             2.40544
                                          <2e-16 ***
SES
             0.15015
                        0.01416 10.604
                                          <2e-16 ***
GS
            -0.02539
                        0.02560
                                 -0.992
                                           0.321
___
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 6.971 on 2283 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.4014,
                                   Adjusted R-squared: 0.4006
F-statistic: 510.2 on 3 and 2283 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

Some questions: How do you read this output? Is the language score dependent on all three variables? How would you make predictions?

Let's warm up first by looking a model of lang modeled by IQ.

```
> res.min = lm(lang ~ IQ, data = nlschools)
> summary(res.min)
```

Call: lm(formula = lang ~ IQ, data = nlschools) Residuals: Median ЗQ Min 1Q Max 0.6056 -28.7022 -4.3944 5.2595 26.2212 Coefficients: Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 9.52848 0.86682 10.99 <2e-16 *** 2.65390 0.07215 36.78 <2e-16 *** IQ ___ 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Signif. codes: Residual standard error: 7.137 on 2285 degrees of freedom Multiple R-Squared: 0.3719, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3716 F-statistic: 1353 on 1 and 2285 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

- 1. Write the equation of the regression line.
- 2. What is the predicted score of a person with IQ of 10?
- 3. Make a scatterplot and add the regression line
- 4. What is r^2 ?
- 5. Perform the statistical test

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0, \quad H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

What is the *p*-value?

To read the output of a multiple regression model is similar. In the output for **res** find the following:

- 1. What is the estimated intercept?
- 2. What is the coefficient in front of SES? What is its SE?

- 3. What is the coefficient in front of GS? What is its SE?
- 4. What does the value of .321 for the last entry for GS mean?

We can compare models using a significance test called the F-test. It is implemented in anova(). We simply use two nested models.

```
> anova(res, res.min)
Analysis of Variance Table
Model 1: lang ~ IQ + SES + GS
Model 2: lang ~ IQ
  Res.Df
            RSS
                  Df Sum of Sq
                                     F
                                          Pr(>F)
1
    2283 110938
2
    2285 116402
                  -2
                          -5464 56.219 < 2.2e-16 ***
___
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

The null hypothesis is that the coefficients in the larger model are 0. (That is the extra parameters are not needed). In this case, the small p-value indicates that the extra two variables are good for the model.

1. Make the model

> res.int = lm(lang ~ IQ + SES, nlschools)

Compare this to the full model in **res**. Is the extra variable adding anything? Write the significance test, and your answer.

2 Analysis of variance

The variable **SES** is a actually a factor – categorical, not numeric. How does this change things?

1. Compare the plots produced by

```
> plot(lang ~ SES, nlschools)
> plot(lang ~ factor(SES), nlschools)
```

What is different?

When we have a grouping variable which is a factor, we are really comparing populations for a discrete set of levels. If there were only two we could use a *t*-test to compare the centers. In the case when there are more, we use an analysis of variance (one way) instead. The oneway.test() does all the work of testing

 $H_0: \mu_1 = \cdots = \mu_k, \quad H_A:$ At least one is not equal

To see it in action we have

> oneway.test(lang ~ factor(SES), nlschools)

One-way analysis of means (not assuming equal variances)

data: lang and factor(SES)
F = 18.6185, num df = 20.000, denom df = 427.462, p-value < 2.2e-16</pre>

The small *p*-value is consistent with the boxplots — the centers appear to depend on the level of **SES**.

1. The variable COMB records a 1 if the student was in a combined class. Make a graph based on the levels of COMB and then perform a oneway analysis of variance.

3 Misc. problems

1. Load the data set forbes and model boiling point (bp) by atmospheric pressure (pres).

```
> data(forbes)
> res = lm(pres ~ bp, data = forbes)
```

What is \mathbb{R}^2 ? Find a 95% CI for the slope.

2. Now try to fit the quadratic model for the same data set:

> res.q = lm(pres ~ bp + I(bp^2), data = forbes)

Compare the two models using anova(). What is the *p*-value? What does it say about the extra term?

3. The data set survey contains responses of 237 Statistics I students at the University of Adelaide to a number of questions, including the span of the writing hand (Wr.Hnd) and non-writing hand (NW.Hnd), Pulse, Smoke, Height and Age.

Make two regression models:

```
> res.full = lm(Pulse ~ Wr.Hnd + NW.Hnd + Height + Age, data = survey)
> res.min = lm(Pulse ~ Age, data = survey)
```

- (a) From the output of summary() on res.full, which variables are flagged in the two-sided test of $H_o: \beta_i = 0$?
- (b) Compare the two models using **anova**. What does this say about the presence of extra variables?
- 4. Again for the **survey** data set, perform a one-way anova significance test to see if the **Smoke** variable has an effect on the students **Pulse** rate. A boxplot of the data can be made as

```
> plot(Pulse ~ Smoke, data = survey)
```

5. Load in the data set **anorexia** and plot the difference in pre and post weights by the treatment:

```
> plot(Postwt - Prewt ~ Treat, data = anorexia)
```

Does it appear that the centers of the three distributions are the same?

Answer this using a one-way analysis of variance test at the $\alpha = 0.05$ level.

6. The data set michelson contains measurements on the speed of light performed by michelson. The code speed is contained in Speed. Different experimental days are recorded in Expt. A plot of the different speeds measured during the separate experiments can be made with

```
> plot(Speed ~ Expt, data = michelson)
```

(a) Based on the boxplots, does it appear that the center (implied population center) of each data set is the same?

(b) Perform a one-way analysis of variance significance test. What is the p-value?