

The goal of this project is to understand the power function.

We will use the following functions. Copy and past the whole amount into the R command line:

```
sim = function(n = 10, realmu=0, pop="norm", m = 250) {
  ## pop either "norm", "long", or "skew"
  res = c()
  if(pop =="norm") {
    x = matrix(rnorm(n*m,realmu,1),ncol=m)
  } else if (pop == "long") {
    x = matrix(rt(n*m,df=3)+realmu, ncol=m)
  } else {
    x = matrix(rexp(n*m,1)+realmu - 1, ncol=m)
  }
  ## apply function to each column
  res = apply(x,2,function(x) t.test(x)$p.value)
  return(res)
}
## What proportion?
prop = function(x) sum(x)/length(x)
## find CI from logical vector
Prop.test = function(x, ...) prop.test(sum(x), length(x),...)
```

The function sim() computes *p*-values for a simulation with

 $H_0: \mu = 0$ $H_A: \mu \neq 0$

using a t-test.

The simulation produces m p-values for samples of size n (default 10) from a population with true mean realmu with a default of 0 (H_0 is true). The population by default is normal, but setting pop="t" will use a long tailed distribution and pop="exp" will use a skewed distribution.

Stem and Tendril (www.math.csi.cuny.edu/st)

1 Finding α and β through simulation

The probability of a Type-I error is called α . This is the probability that we reject H_o when it is indeed true. To see how we can simulate α , run sim() without any arguments:

```
> res = sim()
> prop(res < 0.05)
```

[1] 0.04

When a $p\mbox{-value}$ is less than 0.05 we "reject" in this case. The proportion should be close to 0.05.

Question 1: Why should the proportion be close to 0.05?

The prop.test() function would calculate a CI for the value of α . We use Prop.test() so that we can use unsummarized data:

```
> Prop.test(res < 0.05)
```

```
1-sample proportions test with continuity correction
data: sum(x) out of length(x), null probability 0.5
X-squared = 209.764, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true p is not equal to 0.5
95 percent confidence interval:
0.02045396 0.07456195
sample estimates:
    p
0.04
```

```
Question 2: Does this CI contain 0.05?
```

Question 3: Why is it that the simulation of the t statistic is analyzed using a test of proportions?

Question 4: Repeat the above, only use sim() < 0.10. What is α now? Can you conjecture what it would be for sim() < 0.03?

1.1 Finding α for different populations

The above simulations used a normal population. What if we use a long tailed distribution (the t with 3 degrees of freedom)?

```
> Prop.test(sim(pop = "t") < 0.05)
```

```
1-sample proportions test with continuity correction
data: sum(x) out of length(x), null probability 0.5
X-squared = 174.724, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16</pre>
```

```
alternative hypothesis: true p is not equal to 0.5
95 percent confidence interval:
0.05078401 0.12266504
sample estimates:
p
0.08
Question 5: Does the CI contain 0.05?
Question 6: Repeat the above with the arguments
```

```
> sim(n = 4, pop = "t")
```

and

> sim(n = 100, pop = "t")

Is the result the same for each?

Question 7: For n = 4, 10, and 100 find a CI for α with pop="exp" and 0.05 in the inequality.

1.2 Finding β for different μ and n

The function $\beta(\mu)$ computes the probability of accepting the null hypothesis given a value of the parameter μ . If μ is different than that assumed in H_0 , then this is when H_0 is false.

The power function is $1 - \beta(\mu)$. This means small β s are bigger.

To see how often we falsely accept when $\mu = 1 \pmod{0}$, n = 10 and $\alpha = 0.05$ we have

```
> alpha = 0.05
> Prop.test(sim(n = 10, realmu = 1) > alpha)
         1-sample proportions test with continuity correction
        sum(x) out of length(x), null probability 0.5
data:
X-squared = 81.796, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true p is not equal to 0.5
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.1640908 0.2689662
sample estimates:
    р
0.212
\stackrel{\bigcirc}{=} Question 8:
                   What is the 95% CI for \beta(1) when n = 10?
\stackrel{\bigcirc}{=} Question 9:
                   What is the 95% CI for \beta(1) when n = 100?
\stackrel{\bigcirc}{=} Question 10:
                  Is \beta less than 0.10 when \mu = 1 and n = 25?
```

Question 11: For n = 10 find a CI for β when $\mu = .1, .5, 1, 2$. Question 12: For n = 100 find a CI for β when $\mu = .1, .5, 1, 2$. Question 13: Comment on the value of $\beta(\mu)$ as mu increases from 0 for a fixed n? (What is the value at $\mu = 0$. (Assume $\alpha = 0.05$) Question 14: Comment on the value of $\beta(\mu)$ for a fixed mu as n gets big. (Assume $\alpha = 0.05$)

2 Is the *t* test more powerful?

We know that for simple hypotheses the likelihood ration test is most powerful, but what about which of these is stronger: the *t*-test or the signed-rank test?

Fix n = 10 and $\mu = 1$. Then define the data by

```
> m = 250; n = 10
> x = matrix(rnorm(m * n, mean = 1, sd = 1), ncol = m)
> res1 = apply(x, 2, function(x) wilcox.test(x)$p.value)
> res2 = apply(x, 2, function(x) t.test(x)$p.value)
```

Question 15: Which test is more powerful for this data? How do you know?

3 The function power.t.test()

Power calculations are built into R. The function power.t.test() will do the work for us. For instance, to find the power $(1 - \beta)$ when n = 10 and the difference in means (real μ minus that assumed in H_0) is 1 we have

Question 16: Is the power more than 0.90? If not, try different values of n to find out how large n should be to get a power greater than 0.90.

 $\stackrel{\iota}{=}$ Question 17: That last exercise was a tad tedious, verify that the command

```
> power.t.test(delta = 1, power = 0.9)
```

will do that work more quickly.