

## 1 The shape of body part measurements

The human body comes in various shapes and sizes. However, as daVinci knew, there are certain proportions that are consistent throughout. For this project two data sets are used which contain various measurements of human bodies.

To download the data sets issue these commands:

```
> source("http://www.math.csi.cuny.edu/st/R/normtemp.R")
```

> source("http://www.math.csi.cuny.edu/st/R/fat.R")

The normtemp data set<sup>1</sup> contains measurements of normal body temperature for 300 healthy adults in the variable temperature. The variable gender records the gender of the subject, and hr the heart rate in beats per minute.

The fat data set<sup>2</sup> contains many measurements of human bodies that can be done with a tape measure (circumference measurements), for instance the variable wrist contains measurements of wrist size in centimeters. Additionally, the variable body.fat contains body fat measurements.

After downloading the data sets, they may be attached so that the variable names are visible from the command line.

# > attach(normtemp) > attach(fat)

## 1.1 Statistical inferences

The linear model

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i + \epsilon_i$$

uses the term  $\epsilon_i$  to incorporate error into the data. When assumptions are placed on the distribution of the error terms statistical inference can be made. We will assume the error terms are independent of each other (and the x variable) and normally distributed with mean 0 and common variance  $\sigma^2$ .

With these assumptions, the following have t-distributions

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_0 - \beta_0}{\mathbf{SE}(\hat{\beta}_0)}$$
, and  $\frac{\hat{\beta}_1 - \beta_1}{\mathbf{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)}$ 

E Stem and Tendril (www.math.csi.cuny.edu/st)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This data set was contributed to the *Journal of Statistical Education* by Allen L. Shoemaker, http: //www.amstat.org/publications/jse/v4n2/datasets.shoemaker.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This data set was contributed to the *Journal of Statistical Education* by Roger W. Johnson, http: //www.amstat.org/publications/jse/v4n1/datasets.johnson.html.

The standard errors are computed in the output of the summary() of lm().

For instance, the linear model

$$\texttt{sheight} = eta_0 + eta_1 \texttt{fheight} + eta_i$$

has the following summary:

```
> res = lm(sheight ~ fheight, father.son)
> summary(res)
```

Call:

lm(formula = sheight ~ fheight, data = father.son)

Residuals:

Min 1Q Median 3Q Max -8.8772 -1.5144 -0.0079 1.6285 8.9685

Coefficients:

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) (Intercept) 33.887 1.832 18.5 <2e-16 \*\*\* 0.514 0.027 19.0 <2e-16 \*\*\* fheight \_\_\_ Signif. codes: 0 '\*\*\*' 0.001 '\*\*' 0.01 '\*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1 Residual standard error: 2.44 on 1076 degrees of freedom Multiple R-Squared: 0.251, Adjusted R-squared: 0.251 F-statistic: 361 on 1 and 1076 DF, p-value: <2e-16

The value of  $\mathbf{SE}(\hat{\beta}_0)$  is 1.832, and  $\mathbf{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1) = 0.027$ .

#### Significance tests

Standard errors can be used to perform significance tests. For the father-son model, it might seem intuitive that  $\beta_1 = 1$ . A test of the hypotheses

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 1, \qquad H_A: \beta_1 \neq 1$$

can be carried out as follows.

> t.obs = (0.514 - 1)/0.027
> 2 \* pt(t.obs, df = length(fheight) - 2)

[1] 1.586776e-63

The small *p*-value puts much doubt on the intuitive assumption that  $\beta_1 = 1$ .

Question 1: For the model of wrist size predicting neck size, test the null hypothesis

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 2, \qquad H_A: \beta_1 \neq 2$$

What is the *p*-value? Do you reject at the  $\alpha = 0.05$  level?

 $\stackrel{()}{=}$  Question 2: For the model of neck size predicting abdomen size, test the null hypothesis

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 2, \qquad H_A: \beta_1 \neq 2$$

What is the *p*-value? Do you reject at the  $\alpha = 0.05$  level?

Question 3: For the model of hr predicting temperature test the null hypothesis

$$H_0: \beta_1 = 0, \qquad H_A: \beta_1 \neq 0$$

What is the *p*-value? Do you reject at the  $\alpha = 0.05$  level? Then look at the full output of summary() to see if you can find your *p*-value.

Confidence intervals

Confidence intervals for  $\beta_0$  and  $\beta_1$  found with, for example,

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \pm t^* \mathbf{SE}(\hat{\beta}_1)$$

where  $t^*$  is related to the value of  $\alpha$  by  $P(-t^* < T_{n-2} < t^*) = 1 - \alpha$ .

For instance, a confidence interval for the value of  $\beta_1$  in the father-son model is produced with

```
> alpha = 0.05
> tstar = qt(1 - alpha/2, df = length(fheight) - 2)
> 0.514 + c(-1, 1) * tstar * 0.027
```

[1] 0.4610214 0.5669786

Question 4: Find a 90% confidence interval for the value of  $\beta_1$  in the model of neck size modeled by wrist size using the data in the **fat** data set.

Question 5: Find a 90% confidence interval for the value of  $\beta_1$  in the model of abdomen size modeled by neck size using the data in the **fat** data set.

Question 6: Find a 90% confidence interval for the value of  $\beta_1$  in the model of body fat percentage modeled by BMI using the data in the **fat** data set.

### 1.2 Assessing the linear model

The simple regression model makes distributional assumptions on the error terms  $\epsilon_i$ . The residuals,  $e_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$  should reflect these, although  $e_i$  is not an estimate for  $\epsilon_i$ . By looking at the residuals we can assess whether the linear model is an appropriate one for the data. Graphs of the residuals are produced by applying plot() to the output of lm().

For instance, the following commands produce four diagnostic plots:

```
> res = lm(sheight ~ fheight, father.son)
> par(mfrow = c(2, 2))
> summary(res)
Call:
lm(formula = sheight ~ fheight, data = father.son)
Residuals:
      Min
                 1Q
                       Median
                                      ЗQ
                                               Max
-8.877151 -1.514415 -0.007896 1.628512 8.968479
Coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 33.88660
                        1.83235
                                  18.49
                                           <2e-16 ***
fheight
             0.51409
                        0.02705
                                  19.01
                                           <2e-16 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 2.437 on 1076 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-Squared: 0.2513,
                                   Adjusted R-squared: 0.2506
F-statistic: 361.2 on 1 and 1076 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

- (The command par(mfrow=c(2,2)) forces all four graphs to apear in same figure.) The graphs are
- **Residuals vs. fitted** This plots the fitted values  $\hat{y}_i$  versus  $e_i$ . An appropriate model should show no trend.
- **Normal Q-Q plot** The residuals are roughly speaking normally distributed sample. If this is so, then this graph should appear linear.
- Scale-Location plot An assumption on the error terms is that the variance,  $\sigma^2$ , is constant for all values of x, the predictor variable. This will be the case if this graph shows no tendency to have larger points at the left or right.

**Cook's distance plot** This shows points which are influential in the regression model. Large values may indicated a more robust method for fitting the data is warranted.

Question 7: Produce the diagnostic plots for **fheight** modeling **sheight**. Outside of a point or two, these graphs indicate the linear model seems appropriate. Which point is most unusual for these graphs?

Question 8: Make diagnostic plots for the model of wrist circumference predicting body.fat. Does the linear model seem to apply. Discuss.

Question 9: Make diagnostic plots for the model of BMI predicting body.fat. Does the linear model seem to apply. Discuss.