A Generating Function Technique
for Beatty Sequences and Other Step Sequences

To Appear in Journal of Number Theory
Accepted August 22, 2001

Kevin O'Bryant

Department of Mathematics
University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
1409 W. Green Street
Urbana, IL 61801
E-mail: obryant@math.uiuc.edu
Let \( g(x, n) \), with \( x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \), be a step function for each \( n \). Assuming certain technical hypotheses, we give a constant \( \alpha \) and function \( f \) such that 
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(x, n) \text{ can be written in the form } \alpha + \sum_{0<r<x} f(r),
\]
where the summation is extended over all points in \((0, x)\) at which some \( g(x, n) \) is not continuous.

A typical example is 
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{[n/x]} = \left( \frac{1}{2} - 1 \right) \sum_{r=x/2}^{\infty} f(r),
\]
with the summation extending over all pairs \( p, q \) of positive integers satisfying \( 0 < p/q < x \) and \( \gcd(p, q) = 1 \). We then apply such representations to prove identities such as
\[
\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(n)}{n^z} \left( \zeta(z) - \zeta(z, 1 + \frac{z}{n}) \right),
\]
the Lambert Series for Euler’s Totient function, and 
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\sigma_z(2n+1)}{2n+1} = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{z}{1+z^2},
\]
where \( \zeta(z) \) and \( \zeta(z, a) \) are the Riemann and Hurwitz zeta functions and \( \sigma_z(n) = \sum_{d|n} dz^d \). We also give a generalization of the Rayleigh-Beatty Theorem, and a new result of a similar nature for the sequences \( (\lfloor 2n\alpha \rfloor - \lfloor n\alpha \rfloor)_{n=1}^{\infty} \).
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1. INTRODUCTION

For \( \alpha \) irrational, sequences of integers such as \( (\lfloor n\alpha + \gamma \rfloor)_{n=1}^{\infty} \), called the non-homogeneous Beatty Sequence for \( (\alpha, \gamma) \), and such as \( \lfloor 2n\alpha \rfloor - \lfloor n\alpha \rfloor \), have many interesting properties and are well-studied. The reader is referred to Stolarsky & Porta (1990) [15], Brown (1993) [5], and Fraenkel (1994) [8] for recent bibliographies.

The generating function \( K_0(z, \frac{1}{\alpha}) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n z^\lfloor n\alpha \rfloor \) (shown in Figure (1) with \( t = 1, z = 7/16, \gamma = 1/2 \)) was first studied by Böhmert [2] in 1927, and more recently by Mordell (1965) [11], Newman (1960) [12] and Bowman (1988) [4]. Research has been focused on analyzing the irrationality and transcendence of \( K_\gamma(z, x) \) at particular values, including expressing \( K_\gamma(z, x) \) as a continued fraction. Borwein & Borwein (1993) [3], outlined in Section 4.1.5, subsumes many earlier results along these lines.

In this paper we present a technique for expressing generating functions of sequences of integers defined with a real parameter \( x \) (and satisfying some technical growth conditions depending on the type of generating function) as a summation extended over certain values of \( \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \). For example,

\[
K_0(z, x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{\lfloor n/x \rfloor} = \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{\substack{p,q \mid p/q < x \\text{and} \ (p,q) = 1}} \frac{z^q}{1 - z^q}.
\]

The technique is quite general and applies to \( \sum z^{\lfloor \alpha n + \gamma \rfloor} \) to \( \sum \lfloor \beta n/x \rfloor \), with interesting corollaries.

For rational \( x \), \( K_0(z, x) \) is the sum of several geometric series. For example, \( K_0(z, 3) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{\lfloor n/3 \rfloor} = 2z^0 + 3z^1 + 3z^2 + 3z^3 + \cdots = 2 \frac{1}{1 - z^3} = \frac{2\frac{1}{z}}{1 - z^3} \), and more generally \( K_0(z, x) = \frac{2\frac{1}{z}}{1 - z^x} \) whenever \( x \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). When \( x \) is not an integer, it is more difficult to identify precisely which geometric series are involved. Identifying these is the essential difficulty in applying our Main Theorem. The reader is invited to recognize the right-hand-side of Eq. (†) as a sum of geometric series with initial term \( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \) \( z^q \) and ratio \( z^q \).

The summands become small as \( q \) becomes large, so that one may approximate \( K_0(z, x) \) by summing over the \( n \)th Farey Series \( \mathcal{F}_n \):

\[
K_0(z, x) \approx \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{p/q \in \mathcal{F}_n \setminus \mathcal{F}_{n-1}} \frac{z^q}{1 - z^q}.
\]

There are \( \phi(n) \) members in \( \mathcal{F}_n \setminus \mathcal{F}_{n-1} \), all with denominator \( n \). Hence \( K_0(z, 1) = \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \phi(q) z^q / (1 - z^q) \), and also \( K_0(z, 1) = z/(1 - z) \).
since 1 is an integer. A little algebraic manipulation gives the Lambert Series for Euler’s phi-function (set \( b = 1 \) in Eq. (††) below). Considering \( K_{(b-1)/b}(z^b, x) \) gives the generalization (valid for \( |z| < 1 \)):

\[
\sum_{n \neq 1}^{\infty} \phi(n) \frac{z^n M(n)}{1 - z^{bn}} = \frac{b z^{b+1}}{(1 - z^b)^2} + \frac{z}{1 - z^b}
\]  

(††)

where \( M(n) \) satisfies \( 1 \leq M(n) \leq b \) and \( M(n) \equiv n^{-1} \pmod{b} \). This same argument applied to the Dirichlet generating function \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\frac{n}{x}]+1}{z} \) yields the novel zeta function identity (valid for \( \Re(z) > 1 \))

\[
\zeta(z) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi(q)}{q^z} \left( \zeta(z) - \zeta(z, 1 + \frac{1}{q}) \right).
\]

The rationals in the interval \((0, 1)\) are symmetric about \( \frac{1}{2} \), and together with Eq. (†) this allows us to prove a generalization of the Rayleigh-Beatty Theorem: if \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) are irrational and \( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \), then each positive integer occurs in the sequences \( ([n\alpha])_{n=1}^{\infty} \) and \( ([n\beta])_{n=1}^{\infty} \) a combined total of exactly \( m \) times. This proof of the Rayleigh-Beatty Theorem is new, and the technique can be applied to prove similar theorems.

In fact, we obtain a new theorem of this sort by considering the generating function \( \sum n^{[2n/x]-[n/x]} \). If \( \alpha, \beta \) are positive irrationals satisfying \( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1 \), then

\[
([2n\alpha] - [n\alpha])_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup ([2n\beta] - [n\beta])_{n=1}^{\infty} = \mathbb{Z}^+
\]

and

\[
([2n\alpha] - [n\alpha])_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap ([2n\beta] - [n\beta])_{n=1}^{\infty} = (\lfloor n\frac{\alpha}{2} \rfloor - \lfloor n\frac{\beta}{2} \rfloor)_{n=1}^{\infty}.
\]

The rationals are periodic modulo 1, and so Eq. (†) indicates that \( K_0(z, x) \) has some periodic behavior in \( x \). In fact, we will show that for irrational \( x \), \( K_0(z, x) \) can be written as the sum of a drift term and an infinite sum of sines. For \( x = \frac{1}{4} \), this expression for \( K_0(z, x) \) will simplify to

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\sigma_z(2n + 1)}{2n + 1} = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{z}{1 + z^2},
\]

where \( \sigma_z(n) := \sum_{d|n} d z^d \). Note that \( \sigma_1 \) is the usual sum-of-divisors function, but that our expressions for \( K_0(z, x) \) are valid only for \( |z| < 1 \).
The function $K_\gamma(z, x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{n/x+\gamma}$ has several exotic properties. It is a strictly increasing function of $x$, and, if $\gamma = 0$, is a rational function of $z$ if and only if $x$ is rational (this is elaborated upon in Newman (1960) [12]). Further, a simple $\epsilon$-$\delta$ argument shows that $K_\gamma(z, x)$ is continuous only at irrational $x$. The image of $(0, \infty)$ under $K_\gamma(z, \cdot)$ has measure zero both as a subset of the complex plane and—if $z$ is real—as a subset of the reals.

This is not the first time a strictly increasing function which is continuous exactly on the irrationals has appeared in the literature. In the 1982 Monthly article “A Naturally Occurring Function Continuous Only at Irrationals” [1] one was encountered in the analysis of random binary search trees.

Erdős & Faudree & Györi (1995) [6] may have encountered another one while counting the number of “books” in a graph with large minimum degree. A book of $k$ pages is defined to be $k$ triangles all sharing a common edge. For each $c \in [0, 1]$ define $b(c)$ to be the minimum value $b$ such that
every graph with \( n \) vertices and minimum degree \( cn + o(n) \) contains a book of \( bn \) pages. Their bound suggests that \( b(c) \) may be monotonic on \( (\frac{1}{2}, 1) \) and discontinuous at every rational.

If \( a(n) > 0 \) with \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) < \infty \), and \( n(r) \) is a bijection from the positive rationals onto the positive integers, then \( \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Q}} a(n(r)) \) is a strictly increasing function of \( x \) which is continuous exactly on the irrationals. Theorem 4.1 below shows that \( K_{a/b}(z, x) \) has such a decomposition provided that we restrict \( x \) to a bounded domain. What is remarkable here is that \( a(n(r)) \) is easily expressible and depends only on the denominator of \( r \) and on \( \gamma = a/b \).

The presence of such a decomposition is surprising even given the information that \( K_{\gamma}(z, x) \) is increasing and continuous only on the irrationals; \( K_{\gamma}(z, x) + x \) does not have such a decomposition, after all, nor does \( K_{\gamma}(z, x) + C(x) \), where \( C(x) \) is Cantor’s Ternary Function, which has 0 derivative a.e.

Borwein & Borwein (1993) [3] present \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n z^{[n/x+\gamma]} \) as an infinite series in terms of the convergents to \( x \) and certain integers involved in the one-sided approximation of \( \gamma \), provided that \( \frac{\gamma}{2} + \gamma \) is not an integer for any \( n \). From this, they derive a continued fraction representation for \( (\frac{1}{2} - 1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{|n/x|} \). Their work rests heavily on a functional equation for this sum, and from this equation they give a simple proof of a theorem of Fraenkel (1969) [7]: If \( 1 < \alpha \notin \mathbb{Q} \), \( \gamma \in [0, 1) \), and \( n \alpha + \gamma \) is never integral, then

\[
\left( [n \alpha + \gamma] \right)_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ and } \left( [n \alpha + \gamma] \right)_{n=1}^{\infty}
\]

partition the positive integers iff \( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\gamma} = 1 \), and \( \frac{2}{\alpha} + \frac{2}{\gamma} = 0 \). As mentioned in the Introduction, our technique yields simple proofs of several theorems of this sort. To date, however, Fraenkel’s Theorem has eluded the present techniques.

3. MAIN THEOREM

Let \( V_I(g) \) be the variation of \( g \) on the interval \( I \), i.e.,

\[
V_{(0,x)}(g) = \sup_{0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n < x} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |g(a_{i+1}) - g(a_i)|.
\]

By a simple step function, we mean a step function defined on \((0, \infty)\) whose discontinuities contain no limit point in \((0, \infty)\). We use the notation

\[
[Q] = \begin{cases} 
1 & Q \text{ is True;} \\
0 & Q \text{ is False,}
\end{cases}
\]
extensively, and write \( f(x \to r) \) for \( \lim_{x \to r} f(x) \).

**Theorem 3.1 (Main Theorem).** Let \( g(x,n) : \mathbb{R}^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}^{+} \to \mathbb{C} \), with each \( g(\cdot, n) \) a simple step function, and \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{(0,x)}(g(\cdot, n)) < \infty \) for each \( x \).

Let \( D \) be any set containing \{ \( r : g(\cdot, n) \) is not continuous at \( r \) for some \( n \) \}. Suppose that \( \alpha := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(r \to 0, n) \) is finite. Then for \( x \notin D \),

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(x,n) = \alpha + \sum_{0<r<x, r \in D} f(r),
\]

where \( f(r) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (g(r \to r^+, n) - g(r \to r^-, n)) \). If each \( g(\cdot, n) \) is continuous from the left, then Eq. (1) holds for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^{+} \).

The reader may wish to keep the example \( g(x,n) = 2^{-\lfloor n/x \rfloor} \) in mind. For this example, we have \( D = \mathbb{Q} \), \( \alpha = 0 \), and \( f(\frac{p}{q}) = \frac{1}{2^{q} - 1} \). The Main Theorem implies, in this example, that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\lfloor n/x \rfloor} = \sum_{0<\frac{p}{q}<x, (p,q)=1} \frac{1}{2^{q} - 1}.
\]

This example is looked at in substantially greater generality in Section 4.1.

**Proof.** Let \( D(n) \) be the set of discontinuities of \( g(\cdot, n) \), so that \( \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} D(n) \subseteq D \). Define \( f(r,n) := g(x \to r^+, n) - g(x \to r^-, n) \), so that \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(r,n) = f(r) \). Since \( g(\cdot, n) \) is a simple step function, we have for \( x \notin D(n) \)

\[
g(x,n) = g(r \to 0, n) + \sum_{0<r<x, r \in D(n)} f(r,n),
\]

and the summation is over a finite set. Eq. (2) holds for \( x \in D(n) \) also if \( g(\cdot, n) \) is left continuous at \( x \). If \( x \notin D \supseteq \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} D(n) \) (or if each \( g(\cdot, n) \) is left continuous), then we may sum Eq. (2) over \( n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \), to get

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(x,n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(r \to 0, n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{0<r<x, r \in D(n)} f(r,n)
\]

\[
= \alpha + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{0<r<x, r \in D} f(r,n)
\]

(3)
since if $r \notin D(n)$ then $f(r, n) = 0$. We use the hypothesis on the variation of $g$ to justify rearranging this sum. To wit, we may rearrange terms because

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_{(0,x)}(g(r,n)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{0 < r < x \in D(n)} |f(r, n)|$$

and we arrive at

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{0 < r < x \in D} f(r, n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{0 < r < x \in D} f(r, n) = \sum_{0 < r < x \in D} f(r),$$

whence Eq. (3) reads

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(x, n) = \alpha + \sum_{0 < r < x \in D} f(r).$$

4. SPECIAL SEQUENCES

In this section we conduct a more detailed analysis of several examples to which we may apply the Main Theorem. We will collect corollaries along the way. We provide full details for the first example only.

4.1. Beatty Sequences

Set $g(x, n) := \frac{t^n}{z^{\lfloor n/z + a/b \rfloor}}$, with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $(a, b) = 1$, $0 < |z| < 1$, and $|t| \leq \frac{1}{|z|}$.

The function $K_{a/b}(z, x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n z^{\lfloor n/z + a/b \rfloor}$ (pictured in Figure 1 with $a/b = 1/2$, $z = 7/16$, $t = 1$) has several exotic properties. If $t, z > 0$, then $K_{a/b}(z, x)$ is strictly increasing. With $t = 1$, $K_{a/b}(z, x)$ is a rational function of $z$ if and only if $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ (for more along these lines, see Mordell (1965) [11] and Newman (1960) [12]). A simple $\epsilon$-$\delta$ argument shows that $K_{a/b}(z, x)$ is continuous only at irrational $x$. If $t, z \in \mathbb{R}$, the image of $(0, \infty)$ has measure zero.

To apply the Main Theorem, we must bound the sum of the variations of $g(x, n)$ and identify the set of discontinuities of $g(r, n)$. After doing so, we will compute $\alpha := g(r \rightarrow 0, n)$ and $f(r) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(r, n) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(x \rightarrow r^+, n) - g(x \rightarrow r^-, n)$. We combine the calculations in Theorem 4.1 below.
We first bound the sum of the variations of the \( g(x, n) := t^n z^{\lfloor n/x + a/b \rfloor} \).

We have

\[
\mathbf{V}_{(0, x)}(g(\cdot, n)) \leq \sum_{i=\lfloor n/x + a/b \rfloor}^{\infty} |t|^n \left| z^{i+1} - z^i \right|
\]

\[
= |t|^n |z - 1| \sum_{i=\lfloor n/x + a/b \rfloor}^{\infty} |z|^i
\]

\[
= |t|^n \frac{1 - z}{1 - |z|} |z|^\lfloor n/x + a/b \rfloor,
\]

and so

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{V}_{(0, x)}(g(\cdot, n)) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |t|^n \left( \frac{1 - z}{1 - |z|} \right) |z|^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|t|^n (1 - z)}{1 - |z|} |z|^n
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1 - z}{1 - |z|} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |t|^n |z|^n = \frac{1 - z}{1 - |z|} \frac{|t|}{1 - |t|} |z|^{1/x} < \infty.
\]

Clearly \( g(x, n) \) is not continuous at \( x = r \) iff \( \frac{p}{q} + \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Z} \). This requires that \( r \) be rational; we take \( D := \mathbb{Q} \). Not that for each \( n \), \( g(\cdot, n) \) is continuous from the left. Thus the Main Theorem implies that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n z^{\lfloor n/x + a/b \rfloor} = f(x) + \sum_{r \in D} f(r)
\]

for all \( x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \).

We first show that \( \alpha = 0 \), and then turn to finding a simple expression for \( f(r) \). Since \( \left[ \frac{p}{q} + \frac{a}{b} \right] \to \infty \) as \( x \to 0 \), we see that \( g(r \to 0, n) = \lim_{k \to \infty} t^n z^k = 0 \), since \( |z| < 1 \). Thus

\[
\alpha := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g(r \to 0, n) = 0.
\]

Set, as in the proof of the Main Theorem, \( f(r, n) := g(x \to r^+, n) - g(x \to r^-, n) \). We need only concern ourselves with \( r \in D = \mathbb{Q} \), say \( r = \frac{p}{q} \) with \( (p, q) = 1 \). The function \( g(x, n) \) is continuous at \( r \) unless \( \frac{qn}{p} + \frac{q}{b} = \frac{qnb + ap}{bp} \in \mathbb{Z} \). From this we see that

\[
\frac{qn}{p} + \frac{q}{b} = \frac{qnb + ap}{bp}
\]

so
that our condition is now \( \frac{qn + ap}{bp} = \frac{qn + ap}{bp} \in \mathbb{Z} \). We see now that \( p|n \), so replace \( n \) with \( pj \) to make the condition \( \frac{qn + ap}{bp} = \frac{qn + ap}{bp} \in \mathbb{Z} \). This gives

\[
\begin{align*}
  f\left( \frac{bp}{q}, pj \right) &:= g\left( x \to \left( \frac{bp}{q} \right)^+, pj \right) - g\left( x \to \left( \frac{bp}{q} \right)^-, pj \right) \\
  &= [b|q] + a] [p] \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) z^{a/b} \left( t^p z^{q/b} \right)^i.
\end{align*}
\]

We now give \( f(r) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f\left( \frac{bp}{q}, n \right) \). Define \( M(q) \) to be the unique integer satisfying \( 1 \leq M(q) \leq b \) and \( M(q) \equiv -q^{-1}a \mod b \), provided such an integer exists at all. Thus \( b|q \) + \( a \) iff \( j = M(q) + bi \) for some \( i \geq 0 \).

\[
\begin{align*}
  f\left( \frac{bp}{q} \right) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f\left( \frac{bp}{q}, n \right) \\
  &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} [b|q] + a] \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) z^{a/b} \left( t^p z^{q/b} \right)^j \\
  &= z^{a/b} \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( t^p z^{q/b} \right)^{M(q) + bi} \\
  &= z^{a/b} \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \left( t^p z^{q/b} \right)^{M(q)} \frac{1}{1 - t^p z^{q/b}}.
\end{align*}
\]

If \( r \) does not have the form \( \frac{bp}{q} \), then \( f(r) = 0 \). Thus we only need \( M(q) \) to be defined when \( r = \frac{bp}{q} \), with \( (bp, q) = 1 \). In this case, \( (b, q) = 1 \), and so the defining characteristic of \( M(q) \), “\( M(q) \equiv -q^{-1}a \mod b \),” is satisfiable.

We have demonstrated Theorem 4.1.

**Theorem 4.1.** For all \( x > 0, a \in \mathbb{Z}, b \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (a, b) = 1, 0 < |z| < 1, |t| \leq \frac{1}{|z|} \),

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t^n z^{[n/x+a/b]} = z^{a/b} \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{0 < \frac{bp}{q} < x \atop (bp, q) = 1} \frac{\left( t^p z^{q/b} \right)^{M(q)}}{1 - t^p z^{q/b}}.
\]

where \( M(q) \) satisfies \( 1 \leq M(q) \leq b \) and \( M(q) \equiv -q^{-1}a \mod b \).

We now explore some of applications of Theorem 4.1.

4.1.1. The Lambert Series for Euler’s Totient Function
Corollary 4.1 generalizes Theorem 309 of Hardy & Wright [10].

**Corollary 4.1.** If \(|z| < 1\) and \(b \in \mathbb{Z}^+\), then

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(n) \frac{z^{nM(n)}}{1 - z^{bn}} = \frac{bz^{b+1}}{(1 - z^b)^2} + \frac{z}{1 - z^b} = \frac{z - (b - 1)z^{b+1}}{(1 - z^b)^2},
\]

where \(M(n)\) satisfies \(1 \leq M(n) \leq b\) and \(M(n) \equiv n^{-1} \pmod{b}\).

To get Hardy & Wright’s Theorem 309 (\(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(n) \frac{x^n}{1-x^n} = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}\), the Lambert Series for Euler’s Totient Function), set \(b = 1\), and note that then \(M(n) = 1\) for all \(n\).

**Proof.** Applying Theorem 4.1 with \(x = b\), \(t = 1\), \(a = b - 1\), we may write

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{(n+b-1)/b} = z^{(b-1)/b} \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{0<bp\leq b \atop (bp,q)=1} \frac{(zq/b)^{M(q)}}{1 - z^q}. \tag{4}
\]

Since \(kb < n \leq (k+1)b\) implies that \(\lfloor \frac{n+b-1}{b} \rfloor = k + 1\), the left-hand-side of Eq. (4) becomes

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{(n+b-1)/b} = b \frac{z}{1 - z}.
\]

For \(q \geq 2\) the number of \(p\) with \(0 < \frac{bp}{q} < b\) and \((bp,q) = 1\) is \(\phi(q)[(b,q) = 1]\). The right-hand-side of Eq. (4) becomes

\[
z^{(b-1)/b} \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{0<bp\leq b \atop (bp,q)=1} \frac{(zq/b)^{M(q)}}{1 - z^q} = z^{1/b} \left( 1 - z \right) \sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \phi(q)[(b,q) = 1] \frac{(zq/b)^{M(q)}}{1 - z^q}
\]

Now replace \(z\) with \(z^b\), and solve for the summation to get

\[
\sum_{q=2}^{\infty} \phi(q)[(b,q) = 1] \frac{z^{qM(q)}}{1 - z^{bq}} = \frac{bz^{b+1}}{(1 - z^b)^2}.
\]

Include the \(q = 1\) term to finish the proof of Corollary 4.1. □

**4.1.2. The Rayleigh-Beatty Theorem**
Theorem 4.2. Let \( \alpha, \beta \) be positive reals. Then each positive integer occurs exactly \( m \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \) times (altogether) in the sequences \( ([n\alpha])_{n=1}^{\infty}, ([n\beta])_{n=1}^{\infty} \) iff \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Q} \) and \( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = m \).

Proof. Density considerations imply the “only if” direction. The conclusion of the “if” direction is equivalent to

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{\lfloor n\alpha \rfloor} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{\lfloor n\beta \rfloor} = m \frac{z}{1-z}.
\]

Set \( x := \frac{1}{\alpha} \) and \( y := \frac{1}{\beta} \), so that \( x + y = m \), and both \( x \) and \( y \) are irrational. Then

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{\lfloor n\alpha \rfloor} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{\lfloor n\beta \rfloor} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n/x} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z_{n/y} = \left( \frac{1}{x} - 1 \right) \sum_{0<\frac{p}{q}<x; (p,q)=1} \frac{z^q}{1-z^q} + \left( \frac{1}{y} - 1 \right) \sum_{0<\frac{p}{q}<y; (p,q)=1} \frac{z^q}{1-z^q}
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{x} - 1 \right) \left( \sum_{0<\frac{p}{q}<x; (p,q)=1} + \sum_{0<\frac{p}{q}<y; (p,q)=1} \right) \left( \frac{z^q}{1-z^q} \right)
\]

Using the map \( \frac{p}{q} \mapsto m - \frac{p}{q} = \frac{mq-p}{q} \), the summation operator, as applied to the summand \( \frac{z^q}{1-z^q} \), satisfies

\[
\sum_{0<\frac{p}{q}<y; (p,q)=1} = \sum_{m-y<\frac{mq-p}{q}<m; (p,q)=1} = \sum_{x<\frac{p}{q}<m; (p,q)=1}
\]
since \( \frac{z^q}{1-z} \) depends only on \( q \). We have used the equalities \((mq-p,q) = (p,q)\) and \( m-y = x \). Since \( x \) is irrational,

\[
\sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < x} + \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < y} = \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < x} + \sum_{\frac{p}{q} < m} = \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < m}
\]

and so

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{[n\alpha]} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{[n\beta]} = \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \left( \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < x} + \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < y} \left( \frac{z^q}{1-z^q} \right) \right)
\]

\[
= \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < m} \frac{z^q}{1-z^q}
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{[n/m]} = m \frac{z}{1-z}.
\]

\section*{4.1.3. Fourier Expansion}

Set \( t = 1 \), and consider

\[
K_{a/b}(z,x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{[n/z+a/b]} = z^{a/b} \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{0 < \frac{bp}{q} < x} \frac{(z^{q/b})^{M(q)}}{1-z^{q/b}}
\]

as a function of \( x \). If \( 0 < \frac{bp}{q} < x \) with \((bp,q) = 1\), then \( b < b + \frac{bp}{q} = \frac{b(q+p)}{q} < b + x \) and \((b(q+p),q) = 1\). Thus

\[
\sum_{0 < \frac{bp}{q} < x} \frac{(z^{q/b})^{M(q)}}{1-z^{q/b}} = \sum_{b < \frac{bp}{q} < b+x} \frac{(z^{q/b})^{M(q)}}{1-z^{q/b}}.
\]
This means that for $0 < x \notin \mathbb{Q}$

$$K_{a/b}(z, x + b) - K_{a/b}(z, x) = z^{a/b} \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{0 < \frac{bp}{q} < x < b + x \atop (bp,q) = 1} \frac{\left( \frac{z}{q/b} \right)^M(q)}{1 - z^{q/b}}$$

$$= z^{a/b} \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{0 < \frac{bp}{q} < b \atop (bp,q) = 1} \frac{\left( \frac{z}{q/b} \right)^M(q)}{1 - z^{q/b}}$$

which is independent of $x$!

Hence for $x > b$, $K_{a/b}(z, x) - K_{a/b}(z, b)$ is a periodic function of $x$ with period $b$, and we can compute a Fourier Series expression for $K_{a/b}(z, x)$.

While we can compute the Fourier Series of $K(x)$ for general $a$ and $b$, the algebra is substantial and the final expression is quite involved. For $a = 0$, $b = 1$, both the computation and result are more elegant.

For the remainder of this section, we will work with $\gamma = 0$ and $z$ will not vary. When this is the case, we drop them from our notation. The discontinuities of

$$K(x) := K_0(z, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{|n/x|} = \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < x \atop (p,q) = 1} \frac{z^q}{1 - z^q}$$

are a set of measure zero (the rationals), and so are not relevant to computing the Fourier Series. Assume in what follows, therefore, that $x$ is irrational.

The periodic part of $K(x)$ is

$$K(\{x\}) = \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < \{x\} \atop (p,q) = 1} \frac{z^q}{1 - z^q} = \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{[x] < \frac{p}{q} < x \atop (p,q) = 1} \frac{z^q}{1 - z^q}$$
We first compute the drift term \( K(x) - K(\{x\}) \). If \( x < 1 \), then obviously \( K(x) - K(\{x\}) = |x| \frac{1}{1-z} \). If \( x \geq 1 \), then

\[
K(x) - K(\{x\}) = (\frac{1}{z} - 1) \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < x, (p,q)=1} \frac{z^q}{1-z^q} - (\frac{1}{z} - 1) \sum_{|x| < \frac{p}{q} < x, (p,q)=1} \frac{z^q}{1-z^q}
\]

\[
= (\frac{1}{z} - 1) \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < |x|, (p,q)=1} \frac{z^q}{1-z^q}
\]

\[
= (\frac{1}{z} - 1) \frac{1}{1-z^z} + (\frac{1}{z} - 1) \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} < |x|, (p,q)=1} \frac{z^q}{1-z^q}
\]

\[
= 1 + K(\{x\})
\]

\[
= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{[n/|x|]}
\]

\[
= 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \#\{n \geq 1 : k \leq \frac{n}{|x|} < k + 1\} z^k
\]

\[
= 1 + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} ([x] - [k = 0]) z^k
\]

\[
= |x| \frac{1}{1-z}
\]

We now need to compute \( K(\{x\}) \) as a Fourier Series. We write \( K(\{x\}) = \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} a_j e(jx) \), where \( e(x) = e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}x} \) as usual.

Define \( d_n(x) \) to be the number of positive multiples of \( \frac{1}{x} \) in the interval \([n, n+1)\), so that \( K(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n(x)z^n \). We observe that \( d_0(\{x\}) = 0 \), and that more generally \( d_n(\{x\}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} x(k/(n+1), k/n)(\{x\}) \), a sum of characteristic functions of the intervals \([k/(n+1), k/n]\).

We have

\[
a_{-j} = \int_{0}^{1} K(x) e(jx) \, dx = \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n(x)z^n e(jx) \, dx
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \int_{0}^{1} d_n(x) e(jx) \, dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int_{k/(n+1)}^{k/n} e(jx) \, dx
\]
From this point we need a separate analysis for \( j = 0 \).

\[
a_0 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k/(n+1)} \int_{k/(n+1)}^{k/n} 1 \, dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \left( \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n+1} \right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} k
\]

\[
= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} z^n = \frac{z}{2(1-z)}
\]

For \( j \neq 0 \) we will need to evaluate the geometric sums \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{(ik \pi \theta / n)} = n [n/j] \) and \( \sum_{k=1}^{n} e^{(ik \pi \theta / n+1)} = (n+1) [[n+1/j] - 1]. \)

Now, writing \( \sigma_z(j) := \sum_{n|j} nz^n \)

\[
a_{-j} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2\pi i j} \left( e^{(ik \pi \theta / n)} - e^{(ik \pi \theta / n+1)} \right)
\]

\[
= -i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^n (n\lfloor n/j \rfloor - (n+1) \lceil n+1/j \rceil + 1)
\]

\[
= -i \left( \sigma_z(j) - \left( \frac{1}{z} \sigma_z(j) - 1 \right) + \frac{z}{1-z} \right)
\]

\[
= -i \left( \frac{1}{1-z} - \left( \frac{1-z}{z} \right) \sigma_z(j) \right).
\]

Since

\[
a_j e(j \pi x) + a_{-j} e(-j \pi x) = \frac{-1}{\pi j} \left( \frac{1}{1-z} - \left( \frac{1-z}{z} \right) \sigma_z(j) \right) \sin(2\pi j x)
\]

and \( \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \sin(2\pi j x) = \frac{1}{2} - \{x\} \) we have

\[
K(\{x\}) = \frac{z}{2(1-z)} - \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j} \left( \frac{1}{1-z} - \left( \frac{1-z}{z} \right) \sigma_z(j) \right) \sin(2\pi j x)
\]

\[
= \frac{\{x\}}{1-z} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1-z}{z} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_z(j)}{j} \sin(2\pi j x).
\]

The Fourier Series converges where \( K(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{[n/x]} \) is continuous, i.e., at irrational \( x \), and averages the left and right limits where \( K(x) \) is not continuous. We have shown

**Theorem 4.3.** \( K(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{[n/x]} \) with \( 0 \neq |z| < 1 \) satisfies

\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( K(y \to x^-) + K(y \to x^+) \right) = \frac{x}{1-z} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1-z}{z} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_z(j)}{j} \sin(2\pi j x).
\]
This theorem can be used to gain information about the arithmetic function \( \sigma_z(n) \).

**Corollary 4.2.** If \(|z| < 1\) then

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\sigma_z(2n+1)}{2n+1} = \frac{\pi}{4} \frac{z}{1+z^2}.
\]

**Proof.** We can use the definition of \( K(x) \) to compute the left and right limits: 

\[
K(y \to (\frac{1}{4}^-)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{4n} = \frac{z^4}{1-z^4} \quad \text{and} \quad K(y \to (\frac{1}{4}^+)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{4n-1} = \frac{z^3}{1-z^4}.
\]

The left-hand-side of Theorem 4.3 is \( \frac{1}{2} \frac{z^4 + z^3}{1 - z^4} \). Since \( \sin(2\pi n \frac{1}{4}) = 0 \) if \( n \) is even, \( 1 \) if \( n \equiv 1 \mod 4 \), and \( -1 \) if \( n \equiv 3 \mod 4 \), the summation \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_z(n)}{n} \sin(2\pi n x) \) simplifies to \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \sigma_z(2n+1)/(2n+1) \).

Theorem 4.3 is now

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{z^4 + z^3}{1 - z^4} = \frac{1}{1-z} - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1 - z}{z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{\sigma_z(2n+1)}{2n+1},
\]

which can be rearranged to give the corollary.

Corollary 4.2 can be used to compute rational approximations to \( \pi \), although it is exceptionally poor for such purposes. Using the first 5 terms of the sum and taking \( z \to \frac{1}{2} \) (the optimal choice), we get \( \pi \approx \frac{57983}{16128} \approx 3.60 \). The first 10000 terms give only \( \pi \approx 3.1413 \).

**4.1.4. Integrals**

In computing the Fourier Series of \( K(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{[n/x]} \), we computed the integral \( \int_0^1 K(x) e^{2\pi i j x} \, dx \) for each integer \( j \). There are other integrals involving \( K(x) \) which we can compute.

Consider the integral \( A := \int_0^1 K(x) \, dx \). If we use the transformation \( x \to 1-x \), we see that \( A = \int_0^1 K(1-x) \, dx \). Adding these gives \( 2A = \int_0^1 (K(x) + K(1-x)) \, dx = \int_0^1 K(1) \, dx = \frac{z}{1-z} \), as \( \frac{1}{z} \) and \( \frac{1}{1-z} \) satisfy the hypotheses of Beatty’s Theorem (at least on the irrationals, a set of full measure). Thus \( \int_0^1 K(x) \, dx = \frac{z}{2(1-z)} \).

The above integral can also be computed by substituting \( K(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n(x) z^n \) and juggling the summations and integrals as was done in the computation.
of the Fourier coefficients. In fact, we can also compute:

\[
\int_0^1 x^2K(x) \, dx = \frac{1 + 2z}{12(1 - z)} + \frac{1 - z}{12z} \ln(1 - z)
\]

\[
\int_0^1 xK(x) \, dx = \frac{1 + 3z}{12(1 - z)} + \frac{1 - z}{12z} \ln(1 - z)
\]

\[
\int_0^1 K(x) \, dx = \frac{z}{2(1 - z)}
\]

\[
\int_0^1 \frac{K(x)}{x} \, dx = \sum_{n=1}^\infty z^n \ln \left( (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n \right)
\]

\[
\int_0^1 \frac{K(x)}{x^2} \, dx = \frac{\ln(1 - z)}{z - 1}
\]

With \( z = \frac{1}{2} \), we get

\[
\int_0^1 \frac{K(x)}{x^3} \, dx = 6 \ln 2 - \frac{3}{2}(\ln 2)^2 + \frac{\pi^2}{4}.
\]

4.1.5. Expansions of Borwein & Borwein

In (1993) [3], "On the Generating Function of the Integer Part: \([na + \gamma]\),” the generating functions

\[
G_{a,\gamma}(t, z) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty t^n z^{[na+\gamma]}; \quad F_{a,\gamma}(t, z) := \sum_{n=1}^\infty t^n \sum_{m=1}^{[na+\gamma]} z^m
\]

are analyzed. Assuming that \( na + \gamma \) is never an integer and that all sums converge absolutely, they show (for \( p_n/q_n \) a convergent to \( \alpha \), and particular integers \( s_n, r_n \))

\[
G_{a,\gamma}(t, z) = \frac{t}{1 - t} + \frac{1 - z}{z} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n + t^r_n z^s_n}{(1 - t q_n z p_n)(1 - t q_{n+1} z p_{n+1})}
\]

\[
F_{a,\gamma}(t, z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^n + t^r_n z^s_n}{(1 - t q_n z p_n)(1 - t q_{n+1} z p_{n+1})}
\]

These are used to demonstrate that

\[
\left( \frac{1 - z}{z} \right) \sum_{n=1}^\infty t^n z^{[na]} = \frac{1}{T_0 + \frac{1}{T_1 + \frac{1}{T_2 + \cdots}}},
\]
where
\[ T_0 := \left( \frac{z}{1 - z} \right) \left( \frac{1}{t z^{a_0}} - 1 \right) \]
and
\[ T_n := \frac{1}{t q_{n-2} p_{n-2}} \left[ \frac{(t q_{n-1} p_{n-1})^{-a_n} - 1}{1 - t q_{n-1} p_{n-1}} \right] - 1 \]
with \( a_n \) the partial quotients and \( p_n/q_n \) the convergents to \( \alpha \).

To these expansions, our Main Theorem adds
\[
G_{\alpha, a/b}(t, z) = z^{a/b} \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{0 < \frac{bp}{q} < \frac{1}{a} \atop (bp, q) = 1} \frac{(t p z q/b)^{M(q)}}{1 - t^{bp} q}
\]
and
\[
F_{\alpha, a/b}(t, z) = \frac{t z}{(1 - t)(1 - z)} - z^{a/b} \sum_{0 < \frac{bp}{q} < \frac{1}{a} \atop (bp, q) = 1} \frac{(t p z q/b)^{M(q)}}{1 - t^{bp} q}
\]
where \( M(q) \) satisfies \( 1 \leq M(q) \leq b \) and \( M \equiv -q^{-1} a \mod b \).

This forces the duality relation
\[
\frac{z}{1 - z} G_{\alpha, \gamma}(t, z) + F_{\alpha, \gamma}(t, z) = \frac{t z}{(1 - t)(1 - z)}
\]
which [3] leans upon heavily, to our attention. This duality relation and the functional equation
\[
F_{\alpha, \gamma}(t, z) + F_{\alpha^{-1}, -\gamma^{-1}}(z, t) = \frac{t z}{(1 - t)(1 - z)}
\]
are combined to give a new proof of a theorem of Fraenkel: for \( \alpha > 0 \) irrational, the sequences \( (\lfloor n \alpha + \gamma \rfloor)_{n=1}^\infty \) and \( (\lfloor n \alpha + \gamma' \rfloor)_{n=1}^\infty \) partition the positive integers if and only if \( \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\alpha'} = 1 \), \( \gamma \in [0, 1) \), and \( \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} + \frac{\gamma'}{\alpha'} = 0 \).

The techniques in this paper do not seem to yield the functional equation (which is proved directly in [3] by noting that either \( 1 \leq m \leq \lfloor n \alpha + \gamma \rfloor \) or \( 1 \leq n \leq \lfloor (m - \gamma)/\alpha \rfloor \) and not both), or results such as Fraenkel’s Theorem that depend on the functional equation, because one of \( \gamma, -\gamma^{-1} \) must be irrational. Our Main Theorem still applies, but the set \( D \) and the function \( f(r) \) are too complicated to be very useful.
4.2. Dirichlet Series

Let \( \zeta(z, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n + a)^{-z} \) be the Hurwitz Zeta Function. The Riemann zeta function is given by \( \zeta(z) := \zeta(z, 1) \). Our Main Theorem applied to \( g(x, n) := \lfloor \frac{x}{n} + k \rfloor \) gives

**Theorem 4.4.** Let \( z \) have real part \( \Re(z) > 1 \), \( 0 < x \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \). Then

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lfloor \frac{n}{x} + k \rfloor^{-z} = \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} \leq x \atop (p,q)=1} q^{-z} \left( \zeta(z, 1 + \frac{k-1}{q}) - \zeta(z, 1 + \frac{k}{q}) \right).
\]

This theorem serves as well as Theorem 4.1 in our proof of the Rayleigh-Beatty Theorem, where the requirements is only that summand depends on \( q \) and not \( p \).

Setting \( x = k = 1 \) and including the \( q = 1 \) term gives the novel equation

**Corollary 4.3.** If \( \Re(z) > 1 \) then

\[
1 = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q \frac{\phi(q) \zeta(z) - \zeta(z, 1 + \frac{1}{q})}{\zeta(z)}.
\]

Note that the left-hand-side does not depend on \( z \).

4.3. Difference Beatty Sequences

We can also use our Main Theorem to study sequences such as \( (\lfloor a n \rfloor - \lfloor b n \rfloor)_{n=1}^{\infty} \) (with \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( a > b > 0 \)).

**Theorem 4.5.** Suppose that \( |z| < 1 \), \( x > 0 \) irrational, and \( a > b > 0 \) are integers. Then

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{an}{x} \right\rfloor - \left\lfloor \frac{bn}{x} \right\rfloor = \left( \frac{1}{z} - 1 \right) \sum_{0 < \frac{p}{q} \leq x \atop (p,q)=1} R(a, b, p, q),
\]

where \( R(a, b, p, q) \) is defined by

\[
R(a, b, p, q) := \sum_{j=1}^{(p,a)-1} \left\lfloor \frac{q(a-b) j}{(p,a)} \right\rfloor - \sum_{j=1}^{(p,b)-1} \left\lfloor \frac{q(a-b) j}{(p,b)} \right\rfloor.
\]
Note that $R(a, b, p, q)$ is a polynomial in $z$, and in particular that if $(p, a) = (p, b) = 1$, then $R(a, b, p, q) = 0$.

In deriving Theorem 4.5 from the Main Theorem, one sets $g(x, n) = \frac{z^{[an/x]} - [bn/x]}{z^{[an/x]} - [bn/x]}$. If $g(\cdot, n)$ is not continuous at $r$, then either $\frac{an}{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$ or $\frac{bn}{r} \in \mathbb{Z}$. The reader is reminded that $D$ need only be a superset of the set of discontinuities of the $g(\cdot, n)$; we may take $D := \mathbb{Q}$. As $g(\cdot, n)$ may be left-continuous at some points and right-continuous at others (and possibly neither), we must restrict our attention to $x \notin D$, i.e., to irrational $x$.

We find—as in earlier examples—that $\alpha = 0$. Finding

$$f(r) = \left(\frac{1}{z} - 1\right) \frac{R(a, b, p, q)}{1 - z^{(a-b)q}}$$

is more involved than in the earlier examples, but still involves only routine manipulations.

4.3.1. A New Beatty-Type Theorem

**Theorem 4.6.** Let $S(x) = ([2nx] - [nx])_{n=1}^{\infty}$, and suppose that $\alpha > 0$. Then, as multisets,

$$S(\alpha) \cup S(\beta) = S(1) \cup S\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) \iff \alpha \notin \mathbb{Q} \text{ and } \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha/2}.$$

We attack Theorem 4.6 much as we attacked Theorem 4.2. Density considerations give the “$\Rightarrow$” implication. On the other hand, $S(\alpha) \cup S(\beta) = S(1) \cup S\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)$ only if

$$\sum z^{[2n\alpha]} - [n\alpha] + \sum z^{[2n\beta]} - [n\beta] = \sum z^{[2n\alpha]} - [n\alpha] + \sum z^{[2n\alpha/2]} - [n\alpha/2].$$

If suffices, by Theorem 4.5, to prove that

$$\sum_{r \in D \cap (0, 1/\alpha)} f(r) + \sum_{r \in D \cap (0, 1/\beta)} f(r) + \sum_{r \in D \cap (0, 1/\alpha/2)} f(r),$$

where $f(r) = 0$ unless $r = \frac{2p}{q}$ with $(2p, q) = 1$, and $f\left(\frac{2p}{q}\right)$ does not depend on $p$. At this point we see that Theorem 4.6 hinges on counting the rationals with even numerator and odd denominator in the intervals $(0, \frac{1}{\alpha})$, $(0, \frac{1}{\beta})$, $(0, 1)$, and $(0, \frac{1}{\alpha/2})$. In the proof of Theorem 4.2 this counting was handled by a simple bijection. Since the intervals concerned here are more complicated, we must be content with a more involved counting argument.
Proof. Set \( x := \frac{1}{\alpha} \) and \( y := \frac{1}{\beta} \). Density considerations demand that, if \( S(\alpha) \cup S(\beta) = S(1) \cup S(\frac{2}{\sqrt{2}}) \), then \( x + y = \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{\beta} = 1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = 1 + \frac{\pi}{2} \). We assume in what follows that \( x + y = 1 + \frac{\pi}{2} \), i.e., \( y = 1 - \frac{\pi}{2} \).

First, observe that for any \( v \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( k \in \mathbb{Z} \),

\[
|2v| - |v| = -\left|\frac{1}{2} - v\right| = k - \left|k + \frac{1}{2} - v\right|.
\]

Replace \( v \mapsto \frac{\pi}{2}q \) and \( k \mapsto \frac{q-1}{2} = \left|\frac{1}{2}q\right| \) to get

\[
\left|\frac{\pi}{2}q\right| - \left|\frac{\pi}{4}q\right| = \left|\frac{1}{2}q\right| - \left|\frac{q-1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}q\right| = \left|\frac{1}{2}q\right| - \left|\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)q\right|.
\]

Since \( x \) is irrational, we may write this as

\[
\left|\frac{\pi}{2}q\right| - \left|\frac{\pi}{4}q\right| + 1 = \left|\frac{1}{2}q\right| - \left|\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)q\right| + 1 \tag{5}
\]

Eq. (5) gives us the middle equality in

\[
|\{p \in \mathbb{Z} : \frac{\pi}{2} < \frac{2p}{q} < x\}| = |\{p \in \mathbb{Z} : \frac{\pi}{4}q < p < \frac{\pi}{2}q\}|
\]

\[
= |\{p \in \mathbb{Z} : \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\pi}{4}\right)q < p < \frac{1}{2}q\}|
\]

\[
= |\{p \in \mathbb{Z} : (1 - \frac{\pi}{2}) \frac{2p}{q} < 1\}|. \tag{6}
\]

Set

\[ A_q = \{ p \in \mathbb{Z} : \frac{\pi}{2} < \frac{2p}{q} < x, \ (2p, q) = 1 \} \]

and

\[ B_q = \{ p \in \mathbb{Z} : 1 - \frac{\pi}{2} < \frac{2p}{q} < 1, \ (2p, q) = 1 \}. \]

Since \((2p, 1) = 1\), Eq. 6 above shows that \(|A_1| = |B_1|\). We now proceed by induction to show for \( q \) odd that \(|A_q| = |B_q|\). Note that the cardinalities of \( A_q \) and \( B_q \) are the same as those of

\[ A_q^* = \left\{ \frac{2p}{q} : \frac{\pi}{2} < \frac{2p}{q} < x, \ (2p, q) = 1 \right\} \]

and

\[ B_q^* = \left\{ \frac{2p}{q} : 1 - \frac{\pi}{2} < \frac{2p}{q} < x, \ (2p, q) = 1 \right\}. \]

Moreover, if \( s \) and \( t \) are distinct odd numbers, then \( A^*_s \) and \( A^*_t \) are disjoint, and so are \( B^*_s \) and \( B^*_t \). Now we have

\[ |A_d| = |A_d^*| = |B_d| = |B_d^*| \]
for $d < q$, $d$ odd, by the induction hypothesis. Hence

$$\left\{ \frac{2p}{q} : \frac{x}{2} < \frac{2p}{q} < x \right\} = \bigcup_{d|q} A_d^n = \sum_{d|q} |A_d| = \sum_{d|q} |A_d|$$

$$= |A_q| - |B_q| + \sum_{d|q} |B_d| = |A_q| - |B_q| + \sum_{d|q} B_d^n$$

$$= |A_q| - |B_q| + \left\{ \frac{2p}{q} : 1 - \frac{x}{2} < \frac{2p}{q} < x \right\} \quad (7)$$

Combining Eq. 6 with Eq. 7, we find $|A_q| = |B_q|$, completing the induction.

Thus, defining $f(q) = \left( \frac{1}{x} - 1 \right) R(2, 1, 2p, q)/(1 - x^q) = \frac{1}{x} \frac{1 - x^q}{1 - x^{q/2}}$, we have

$$\sum_{\frac{x}{2} < \frac{2p}{q} < x} f(q) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} |A_q| f(q) = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} |B_q| f(q) = \sum_{1 - \frac{x}{2} < \frac{2p}{q} < 1} f(q). \quad (8)$$

Since $x$ is irrational, $\left( \frac{x}{2}, x \right) \cap \mathbb{Q} = \left( (0, x) \setminus (0, \frac{x}{2}) \right) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ and $(1 - \frac{x}{2}, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q} = \left( (0, 1) \setminus (0, 1 - \frac{x}{2}) \right) \cap \mathbb{Q}$. Thus Eq. 8 implies

$$\sum_{0 < \frac{2p}{q} < x} f(q) - \sum_{0 < \frac{2p}{q} < \frac{x}{2}} f(q) = \sum_{0 < \frac{2p}{q} < 1} f(q) - \sum_{0 < \frac{2p}{q} < 1 - \frac{x}{2}} f(q). \quad (9)$$

Now, Theorem 4.5 reads, with $a = 2$, $b = 1$:

$$G(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} z^{[2n/x] - [n/x]} = \sum_{0 < \frac{2p}{q} < x} f(q),$$

Since $y = 1 - \frac{x}{2}$, Eq. (9) can be written as $G(x) - G(\frac{x}{2}) = G(1) - G(y)$, whence $S(\alpha) \cup S(\beta) = S(\frac{1}{2}) \cup S(\frac{1}{y}) = S(1) \cup S(\frac{1}{z/2}) = S(1) \cup S(\frac{2}{q})$.  

REFERENCES
