

Multiple Means Testing

Recall the setup from the last example:

$$X_{1i} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_1, \sigma^2) \quad X_{2i} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_2, \sigma^2) \quad X_{3i} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_3, \sigma^2)$$

where our interest is in

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_A: \text{at least one pair unequal}$$

We found a Likelihood ratio test by testing

$$\frac{\hat{\tau}_0^2}{\hat{\tau}_2} < k \quad \text{for some } k.$$

ANOVA (= ANalysis Of VAriance) is all about a systematic approach to this likelihood ratio test (and generalizations)

ANOVA and the T-test fit into a sequence of means tests:

- one-sample $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$
- two-sample $H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2$
- many-sample (ANOVA) $H_0: \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_k$
- continuous spectrum of samples (regression)

Variances plot

Notation

Since ANOVA is all about sample variances, there will be many sums of squares involved.

We write

$$Y_{ij} \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma^2) \quad n_i \text{ samples from each of } k \text{ groups}$$

$$\bar{Y}_{i\cdot} = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij} \quad \bar{Y} = \bar{Y}_{\cdot\cdot} = \frac{1}{\sum n_i} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} Y_{ij}$$

$$\text{Total Sum of Squares} \quad TSS = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y})^2$$

$$\text{Sum of Squares for Errors} \quad SSE = \sum_i \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i\cdot})^2$$

$$\text{Sum of Squares for Treatments} \quad SST = \sum_{i=1}^k (\bar{Y}_{i\cdot} - \bar{Y})^2$$

$$\text{Mean square for Errors} \quad MSE = SSE / \text{DoF}$$

$$\text{Mean square for Treatments} \quad MST = SST / \text{DoF}$$

Each of $\frac{1}{n-1} TSS$, MSE , MST is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 .

Theorem

$$TSS = SST + SSE$$

Proof
$$TSS = \sum_i \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y})^2 = \sum_i \sum_j ((Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.}) + (\bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}))^2$$

$$= \sum_i \sum_j [(Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.})^2 + 2(Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.})(\bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}) + (\bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y})^2]$$

Notice that
$$\sum_j (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.}) = \sum_j Y_{ij} - n_i \bar{Y}_{i.} = n_i \bar{Y} - n_i \bar{Y}_{i.} = 0$$

So
$$\sum_j 2(Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.})(\bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}) = 2(\bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y}) \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.}) = 0.$$

The remainder is
$$\sum_i \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.})^2 + \sum_i \sum_j (\bar{Y}_{i.} - \bar{Y})^2. \quad \square$$

The ANOVA F-test

Recall
$$SSE = \sum_i \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.})^2$$

Since the sample variance of the i^{th} sample on its own is $\frac{1}{n_i - 1} \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y}_{i.})^2$, it follows that SSE is a pooled sum of squares

$$SSE = \sum_i (n_i - 1) S_i^2 \quad \text{where } S_i^2 \text{ is the } i^{\text{th}} \text{ sample variance.}$$

Theorem If $U \sim \chi^2(n)$ and $V \sim \chi^2(m)$, ^{independent} then $U+V \sim \chi^2(n+m)$.

Proof sketch If $U = \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2$ and $V = \sum_{j=1}^m w_j^2$, with $z_i, w_j \sim N(0,1)$, then $U+V$ is a sum of ^{squares of} $n+m$ iid $N(0,1)$ variables. ■

Since each $(n_i - 1) S_i^2 / \sigma^2 \sim \chi^2(n_i - 1)$, it follows that

$$\frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} = \sum_i (n_i - 1) \frac{S_i^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2\left(\sum_i (n_i - 1)\right) = \chi^2(n - k).$$

— # —

Under the null hypothesis $\mu_1 = \dots = \mu_k$, all the Y_{ij} are ^{iid}. Hence, $TSS = \sum_i \sum_j (Y_{ij} - \bar{Y})^2 = (n - 1) \cdot S^2$ for $n = \sum n_i$ and S^2 the sample variance of the union of all the Y_{ij} 's.

Hence $\frac{TSS}{\sigma^2} = (n-1) \frac{S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1).$

In order to understand SST, we will need a theorem on subtracting χ^2 variables:

Theorem If $U \sim \chi^2(m)$, $W = U + V \sim \chi^2(n+m)$ then $V \sim \chi^2(n).$

Proof The moment generating function for W is:

$$(1-2t)^{-(n+m)/2} = \mathbb{E}[e^{t(U+V)}] = \mathbb{E}[e^{tU} \cdot e^{tV}] =$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[e^{tU}] \cdot \mathbb{E}[e^{tV}] = (1-2t)^{-m/2} \cdot MGF_V(t)$$

because
independent

$$\text{So } MGF_V(t) = (1-2t)^{-(n+m)/2} / (1-2t)^{-m/2} = (1-2t)^{-n/2}$$

$$\text{Hence } V \sim \chi^2(n).$$

□

Since $TSS = SSE + SST$, $\frac{TSS}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$ and $\frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-k)$ it follows that $\frac{SST}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2((n-1) - (n-k)) = \chi^2(k-1).$

We define $MSE = SSE/(n-k)$ and $MST = SST/(k-1).$

Then

$$F = \frac{\frac{SST}{\sigma^2} / (k-1)}{\frac{SSE}{\sigma^2} / (n-k)} = \frac{SST / (k-1)}{SSE / (n-k)} \sim F_{n-k}^{k-1}$$

The ANOVA table

Since there are so many components to the F -statistic's calculation, it is often helpful to organize them in a table:

Source	DoF	SS	MS	F
Treatments	$k-1$	SST	MST	MST/MSE
Error	$n-k$	SSE	MSE	
Total	$n-1$	TSS		

Example (13.11)

Data was given :

	G1	G2	G3
n	14	14	14
$\bar{x}_{i.}$	0.93	1.21	0.92
std.err	0.04	0.03	0.04

Since std.err = s/\sqrt{n} , we get

s_i	0.15	0.11	0.15
s_i^2	0.022	0.012	0.022

$$\text{Now, } SSB = \sum_i \sum_j (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i.})^2 = \sum_i (n_i - 1) s_i^2$$

$$\text{and } SST = \sum_i \sum_j (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y})^2 = \sum_i n_i (\bar{y}_{i.} - \bar{y})^2$$

$$\text{where } \bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_i n_i \bar{y}_{i.}$$

Source	DoF	SS	MS	F	P
T	2	0.7588	0.3794	19.829	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$
E	39	0.7462	0.0191		

Estimation

MSE is an unbiased pooled estimator of σ^2 .
It produces better estimates than would the sample variance from any one group in isolation.

We get confidence intervals:

$$\mu_i \in \bar{Y}_{i\cdot} \pm t_{\alpha/2} S / \sqrt{n_i}$$

$$\mu_i - \mu_j \in \bar{Y}_{i\cdot} - \bar{Y}_{j\cdot} \pm t_{\alpha/2} S \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_i} + \frac{1}{n_j}}$$

where $S = \sqrt{\text{MSE}}$ and $t_{\alpha} = F_{\alpha}^{-1}(1-\alpha)$.

Errors using this compound if you repeat CI calculations for other group means or group mean differences.

One very common method to deal with this is the Bonferroni correction:

Suppose we are seeking confidence intervals I_1, \dots, I_m for parameters $\vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_m$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\vartheta_i \in I_i \text{ for all } i) \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

Let's talk a bit about events:

$$\overline{A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_m} = \bar{A}_1 \cup \bar{A}_2 \cup \dots \cup \bar{A}_m$$

So if the additive law applies, then

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_m) &= 1 - P(\bar{A}_1 \cup \dots \cup \bar{A}_m) \\ &\geq 1 - \sum P(\bar{A}_i) \\ &= 1 - \sum \alpha_i \end{aligned}$$

So for our confidence interval, if each is an $(1-\alpha)$ -CI, then the joint confidence level could be as small as $1-m\alpha$.

Bonferroni's method: use $\alpha' = \alpha/m$ for each simultaneous interval.

Additivity is usually not applicable and Bonferroni is known to be too conservative (ie rejects too rarely).

Better methods have been proposed — eg by Holm and by Hochberg — but are out of scope for this course.