

The F-distribution

Recall that the sum of ^{squares of} n iid standard normal variables follows a $\chi^2(n)$ distribution

If we were to wish to compare two sets of iid standard normal variables, sums are less appropriate: the more copies you sum up, the larger the variance ($2n$) and the mean (n).

Instead, the quantities to compare would be the means of the sets of squared std normal variables: in other words, if $W_1 \sim \chi^2(n_1)$ and $W_2 \sim \chi^2(n_2)$, then we would want to compare W_1/n_1 to W_2/n_2 .

Definition Suppose $W_1 \sim \chi^2(n_1)$ and $W_2 \sim \chi^2(n_2)$.
Then the ratio $F = \frac{W_1/n_1}{W_2/n_2}$ follows the F distribution with n_1 numerator degrees of freedom and n_2 denominator degrees of freedom.

In R, this is handled by `pf`, `qf`, `rf` and `df`.

One-sample test for variance

Let $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ iid with unknown mean \neq variance.

We know $X = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$

and we can

use this to create statistical tests for population variance.

These tests we will want to follow a one-point null hypothesis $H_0: \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2$ and the usual upper/lower/two-tailed alternative hypotheses.

If S^2 comes out remarkably large, this supports $\sigma^2 > \sigma_0^2$; if S^2 is remarkably small, then that supports $\sigma^2 < \sigma_0^2$.

For a two-sided RR, we run into the same issues as we had for the CIs: the χ^2 distribution is not symmetric, so picking equal probability weights in the two tails does not produce a largest possible rejection region.

It is however one much easier to calculate with.

This gives us a test:

$$H_0: \sigma^2 = \sigma_0^2 \quad H_A: \sigma^2 \neq \sigma_0^2$$

$$\text{Test statistic: } (n-1)S^2/\sigma_0^2 =: X$$

$$\text{RR: } \{X > x_{1-\alpha/2}\} \cup \{X < x_{\alpha/2}\}$$

$$\text{where } x_{\alpha} = F_{\chi^2(n-1)}^{-1}(\alpha).$$

This test is not robust to non-normal data.

Two-sample test of variances

Assume $X_1, \dots, X_{n_x} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_x, \sigma_x^2)$ i.i.d. and $Y_1, \dots, Y_{n_y} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_y, \sigma_y^2)$ i.i.d.

Recall that $W = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$. It follows that

$$W/(n-1) = S^2/\sigma^2$$

Applied to our X s and Y s, we get that

$$F = \frac{W_x/(n_x-1)}{W_y/(n_y-1)} = \frac{S_x^2/\sigma_x^2}{S_y^2/\sigma_y^2} \sim F_{n_x-1, n_y-1}$$

From this known distribution we can construct a test for $H_0: \sigma_x^2 = \sigma_y^2$ vs. lower/upper/two-tailed alternatives.

The construction is familiar by now:

$$H_0: \sigma_x^2 = \sigma_y^2 \quad H_A: \begin{matrix} \sigma_x^2 > \\ \sigma_x^2 \neq \sigma_y^2 \\ \sigma_x^2 < \end{matrix}$$

Under H_0 , the factors σ_x^2 and σ_y^2 in F cancel, leaving our test statistic: $F = S_x^2/S_y^2$.

$$\text{Rejection-Region} = \left\{ \begin{matrix} F > F_{n_x-1, n_y-1, 1-\alpha} \\ F > F_{n_x-1, n_y-1, 1-\alpha/2} \\ F < F_{n_x-1, n_y-1, \alpha} \end{matrix} \right\} \cup \left\{ F < F_{n_x-1, n_y-1, \alpha/2} \right\}$$

$$\text{where } F_{m, \alpha}^{-1} = F_{F_m}^{-1}(\alpha).$$

cont...

The F distribution has some nice symmetries:
if $F \sim F_m^n$, then $\frac{1}{F} \sim F_n^m$.

With printed tables, this symmetry is important to cut down on the tables required and can both be used to get a lower threshold from an upper one, and to force $n > m$ in the lookup tables.

Nowadays, we have computers.

The F test is not robust to non-normality.

