

Factorization even if A is not square, we can still record the row operations in a matrix, so if we do $A \rightsquigarrow U$ row-echelon form

then $A = LU$ ↑ not R-reduced row-echelon form!

↑ $m \times n$ $m \times m$ $m \times n$

↑ lower triangular ↑ upper triangular as before

Example

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving $Ax = b$, $Ux = c$, $Rx = d$

case $b=0$ is special, because row operations don't change zeros.

(i.e. we don't write $\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 6 & 9 & 7 & 0 \\ -1 & -3 & 3 & 4 & 0 \end{array} \right]$)

naive method (works for $Ax=b$, only need to solve for 1 value of b)

just do row operations on: $\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 & b_1 \\ 2 & 6 & 9 & 7 & b_2 \\ -1 & -3 & 3 & 4 & b_3 \end{array} \right]$

better method (when you need to solve for many different b 's)

$Ax = b$ $n \times n$ $A = LU$ $LUx = b$

$LUx = b$ recall L invertible!

$Ux = L^{-1}b$

Example

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ 2b_1 + b_2 \\ -b_1 + 2b_2 + b_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Q: is there a solution? A: iff $-b_1 + 2b_2 + b_3 = 0$!

Observation $Ax=b$ can be solved iff b lies in the column space of A (not U !!)

even though A has four columns, the column space is 2-dimensional, as the columns without pivots are sums of the other columns. $[C_2 = 3C_1, C_4 = C_3 - C_1]$.

two descriptions of the column space $C(A)$: all linear combinations of C_1, C_3
: all solutions to $-b_1 + 2b_2 + b_3 = 0$.

Example $b \in \text{Col}(A)$, solve $Ax=b$ $b=(1,5,5)$

$$Ax=b$$

$$\underbrace{LU}_C x=b$$

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

solve: $LC=b$: $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$

$$u=1$$

$$2u+v=5 \quad v=3$$

$$-u+2v+w=5$$

$$-1+6+w=5 \quad w=0$$

solve $ux=c$: $\begin{bmatrix} \boxed{1} & 3 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{3} & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$y=t$ $w=s$

$$3z+3w=3$$

$$z = -s+1$$

$$x+3t-3s+2s=1$$

$$x = 1-3t+s$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \\ w \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2-3t+s \\ t \\ -s+1 \\ s \end{bmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{x_p} + s \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}}_{x_n} + t \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} -3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{x_n}$$

$$x+3t+3(-s+1)+2s=1$$

$$x = -2-3t+s$$

key observation ①

the solutions are all of the form $x_p + x_n$
 some particular solution solutions to $Ax=0$

Note: if x_p and x_p' are two different solutions then $A(x_p - x_p') = Ax_p - Ax_p' = b - b = 0$.
 so doesn't matter which x_p you choose.

Reduced equations

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 3 & 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] \rightsquigarrow \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} \boxed{1} & 3 & 0 & -1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$\uparrow$ free $\uparrow$ free
 t s
 $x = -2 - 3t + s$ $z = 1 - s$

key observation ② $Ax=b$
 $m \times n$

If there are r pivots then there are $n-r$ free variables

Defn the number of pivots is the rank of A

Further more, the last $m-r$ rows of U (and R) are zero!

Summary $Ax=b$ row reduces to $Ux=c$ and $Rxc=d$.

with r pivots. ($\text{Rank}(A)=r$) Then:

- the last $m-r$ rows of U and R are zero, so there is a solution iff the last $m-r$ entries of c and d are zero.

- the complete solution is $x = x_p + x_n$

• can choose x_p to have all free variables zero

• x_n are all the solutions to $Ax=0$. } there are $(n-r)$ -dimensional space of these.

Example
$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & \vdots \\ 2 & 4 & 8 & 12 & \vdots \\ 3 & 6 & 7 & 13 & \vdots \end{array} \right] \rightsquigarrow \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & -2 & \vdots \end{array} \right] \rightsquigarrow \left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \vdots \end{array} \right]$$

§ 2.3 Linear independence, basis, dimension

A $m \times n$	matrix	$C(A)$ column space	$N(A)$ null space $= m-r$	$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{# pivots} = r = \text{rank} \\ \text{column space} \\ \text{null space} \end{array} \right\} \text{vector spaces!}$	$\leftarrow$ a vector space has a "size" called the <u>dimension</u> .
---------------------	--------	------------------------	---------------------------------	--	--

Recall: a linear combination of the vectors $v_1, \dots, v_k$ is a ^{vector} sum of the form $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_kv_k$.

Defn A set of vectors $v_1, \dots, v_k$ is linearly independent if $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_kv_k = 0$ implies $c_1=0, c_2=0, \dots, c_k=0$. "the only way to get zero is to have every coefficient equal to zero".

If there is any non-trivial way to get zero, we say the vectors are linearly dependent

i.e. $\exists c_1, \dots, c_k$ not all zero such that $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_kv_k = 0$.

Observation A set containing the zero vector is always linearly dependent.

If $v_1=0$ then choose $c_1=1, c_2=0, \dots, c_k=0$ and $c_1v_1 + \dots + c_kv_k = 0$.

Examples



independent.



dependent.



dependent.