

**PROBLEM SET 4 FOR MATH 71200 - SET THEORY AND
LOGIC - LOGIC I
SPRING 2019**

DR. GUNTER FUCHS

The principle of Dependent Choices (DC) is a weak form of the axiom of choice stating that whenever $\langle u, r \rangle$ is a binary system with the property that for every $y \in u$, there is an $x \in u$ such that xry , it follows that there is an infinite decreasing chain in $\langle u, r \rangle$, that is, a function $f : \omega \rightarrow u$ such that for all $n \in \omega$, $f(n+1)rf(n)$.

Problem 1:

Prove in $\text{ZF} + \text{DC}$ that a binary system $\langle u, r \rangle$ fails to be well-founded iff there is an infinite decreasing chain in $\langle u, r \rangle$, that is, a function $f : \omega \rightarrow u$ such that for all $n \in \omega$, $f(n+1)rf(n)$. Conclude that König's Lemma holds in $\text{ZF} + \text{DC}$: every infinite, locally finite tree has an infinite branch. Actually, an even weaker form of choice suffices for the latter: every countable set of finite, nonempty sets has a choice function.

Problem 2:

The countable Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem says that every infinite model of a countable first order language has a countable elementary submodel. Show in ZF that the countable Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem is equivalent to DC.

Hint: To show that DC implies the countable Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem, given an infinite model M , let u consist of one-to-one functions $f : n \rightarrow |M|$, for some $n < \omega$, and define frg if $\text{dom}(f) > \text{dom}(g)$ and, fixing an enumeration of the formulas in the language of M , we have that for every $i < \text{dom}(g)$, if $\varphi_i(w, v_0, \dots, v_{l-1})$ is the i -th formula, and $a_0, \dots, a_{l-1} \in \text{ran}(g)$, if $M \models \exists w \varphi_i[a_0, \dots, a_{l-1}]$, then there is a $b \in \text{ran}(f)$ such that $M \models \varphi_i[b, a_0, \dots, a_{l-1}]$.

For the converse, note that any countable set is automatically well-ordered.

Problem 3:

Show in $\text{ZF}^{--} + \text{Infinity}$: if $R \subseteq V^2$ is a set-like relation, then for every set u , there is a minimal (with respect to inclusion) set v that's R -closed and contains u . Show also that this result does not hold in ZF without the axiom of infinity, and that it does not hold if R is not set-like.

Problem 4:

Let's say that a relation R is *well-founded with respect to sets* if every nonempty set a has an R -minimal element. And let's say R is *well-founded with respect to classes* for the scheme expressing that every nonempty class has an R -minimal element.

- (1) Show that $\text{ZF}^{--} + \text{Infinity} + "R \text{ is set-like and well-founded with respect to sets}"$ proves the scheme " R is set-like and well-founded with respect to classes". So in $\text{ZF}^{--} + \text{Infinity}$, this scheme can be expressed by a single formula. In particular, the recursion theorem can be expressed in this theory.
- (2) Show that in ZF , if R is well-founded with respect to sets, then R is well-founded with respect to classes (that is, every nonempty class has an R -minimal element - note that it is not assumed here that R is set-like).

*Please submit your homework by email, as a pdf file created with latex, by
3/3/2019.*