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#### Abstract

We study large deviations for the renormalized self-intersection local time of $d$-dimensional stable processes of index $\beta \in(2 d / 3, d]$. We find a difference between the upper and lower tail. In addition, we find that the behaviour of the lower tail depends critically on whether $\beta<d$ or $\beta=d$.


## 1 Introduction

Let $X_{t}$ be a non-degenerate $d$-dimensional stable process of index $\beta$. We assume that $X_{t}$ is symmetric, i.e. $X_{t} \stackrel{d}{=}-X_{t}$, but we do not assume it is spherically symmetric. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(e^{i \lambda \cdot X_{t}}\right)=e^{-t \psi(\lambda)} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi(\lambda) \geq 0$ is continuous, positively homogeneous of degree $\beta$, i.e. $\psi(r \lambda)=r^{\beta} \psi(\lambda)$ for each $r \geq 0, \psi(-\lambda)=\psi(\lambda)$ and for some $0<c<C<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
c|\lambda|^{\beta} \leq \psi(\lambda) \leq C|\lambda|^{\beta} . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]In studying the self intersections of $\left\{X_{t} ; t \geq 0\right\}$ one is naturally led to try to give meaning to the formal expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} \delta_{0}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right) d r d s \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{0}(x)$ is the Dirac delta 'function'. Let $\left\{f_{\epsilon}(x) ; \epsilon>0\right\}$ be an approximate identity and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right) d r d s \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\beta>d$, so that necessarily $d=1$ and $\left\{X_{t} ; t \geq 0\right\}$ has local times $\left\{L_{t}^{x} ;(x, t) \in R^{1} \times R_{+}^{1}\right\}$, (1.4) converges as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to $\frac{1}{2} \int\left(L_{t}^{x}\right)^{2} d x$. Large deviations for this object have been studied in [7].

In this paper we assume that $\beta \leq d$. In this case (1.4) blows up as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We consider instead

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{t, \epsilon}=\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right) d r d s-E\left\{\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right) d r d s\right\} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{t}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma_{t, \epsilon} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever the limit exists. It is known that this happens if (and only if) $\beta>2 d / 3$, and then $\gamma_{t}$ is continuous in $t$ almost surely, [21, 22, 25]. In this case we refer to $\gamma_{t}$ as the renormalized self-intersection local time for the process $X_{t}$. Renormalized self-intersection local time, originally studied by Varadhan [27] for its role in quantum field theory, turns out to be the right tool for the solution of certain "classical" problems such as the asymptotic expansion of the area of the Wiener and stable sausages in the plane and fluctuations of the range of stable random walks. See Le Gall [14, 13], Le Gall-Rosen [15] and Rosen [24]. In Rosen [26] we show that $\gamma_{t}$ can be characterized as the continuous process of zero quadratic variation in the decomposition of a natural Dirichlet process. For further work on renormalized self-intersection local times see Dynkin [10], Le Gall [16], Bass and Khoshnevisan [3], Rosen [25] and Marcus and Rosen [20].

The goal of this paper is to study the large deviations of $\gamma_{t}$, generalizing the recent work for planar Brownian motion of the first two authors, [4].

Theorem 1 Let $X_{t}$ be a symmetric stable process of order $2 d / 3<\beta \leq d$ in $R^{d}$. Then for some $0<a_{\psi}<\infty$ and any $h>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P\left\{\gamma_{t} \geq h t^{2}\right\}=-h^{\beta / d} a_{\psi} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $a_{\psi}$ is described in Section 4 and is related to the best possible constant in a Gagliardo-Nirenberg type inequality.
$\gamma_{t}$ is not symmetric. In fact the lower tail has very different behaviour.
Theorem 2 Let $X_{t}$ be a symmetric stable process of order $\beta>2 d / 3$ in $R^{d}$. Then we can find some $0<b_{\psi}<\infty$ such that if $\beta<d$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P\left\{-\gamma_{t} \geq t\right\}=-b_{\psi} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $\beta=d$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P\left\{-\gamma_{1} \geq p_{1}(0) \log t\right\}=-b_{\psi} \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{t}(x)$ is the continuous density function for $X_{t}$.
Using the scaling property $\{X(t s) ; s \geq 0\} \stackrel{d}{=} t^{1 / \beta}\{X(s) ; s \geq 0\}$ of the stable process it is easy to check that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{t} \stackrel{d}{=} t^{2-d / \beta} \gamma_{1} . \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (1.7)-(1.8) show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P\left\{\left|\gamma_{1}\right|^{\beta / d} \geq h t\right\}=-h a_{\psi} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
E\left(e^{\lambda\left|\gamma_{1}\right|^{\beta / d}}\right) \begin{cases}<\infty & \text { if } \lambda<a_{\psi}^{-1}  \tag{1.12}\\ =\infty & \text { if } \lambda>a_{\psi}^{-1} .\end{cases}
$$

Our large deviation results lead to the following LIL type results.
Theorem 3 Let $X_{t}$ be a symmetric stable process of order $2 d / 3<\beta \leq d$ in $R^{d}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{t}}{t^{(2-d / \beta)}(\log \log t)^{d / \beta}}=a_{\psi}^{-d / \beta} \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4 Let $X_{t}$ be a symmetric stable process of order $\beta>2 d / 3$ in $R^{d}$. If $\beta<d$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{t}}{t^{(2-d / \beta)}(\log \log t)^{d / \beta-1}}=-b_{\psi}^{-(d / \beta-1)} \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

while if $\beta=d$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t \log \log \log t} \gamma_{t}=-p_{1}(0) \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The methods needed for this paper are very different from those used in [4] for planar Brownian motion. In that case, and more generally when $\beta=d$, the upper bound for large deviations for $\gamma_{t}$ comes from a soft argument involving scaling. This argument breaks down when $\beta<d$. Instead we obtain the upper bound using careful moment arguments developed in sections 2 and 3.

Another major difference between this paper and [4] is in the proof of the lower bound for large deviations for $-\gamma_{t}$ when $\beta<d$. Suppose we divide the time interval $[0, n]$ into subintervals $I_{k}=[k, k+1], k=0, \ldots, n-1$, let $B\left(I_{k}\right)$ denote renormalized self-intersection time for the piece of the path generated by times in $I_{k}$, and let $A\left(I_{j} ; I_{k}\right)$ denote the intersection local time for the two pieces generated by times in $I_{j}$ and $I_{k}$ when $j \neq k$. Then the major contribution to the renormalized self-intersection intersection local time for planar Brownian motion on the interval $[0, n]$ comes from $\sum_{j<k}\left[A\left(I_{j} ; I_{k}\right)-\right.$ $\left.E A\left(I_{j} ; I_{k}\right)\right]$; the contribution from $\sum_{k} B\left(I_{k}\right)$ is smaller. In contrast, when $\beta<d$, both contributions are of the same order of magnitude. As a result, the lower bound for $-\gamma_{t}$ when $\beta<d$ requires a much more delicate argument.

Our paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we obtain bounds on exponential moments of the intersection local time for two independent processes, which is then used in section 3, following an approach due to Le Gall, to obtain bounds on exponential moments of the renormalized self-intersection local time $\gamma_{t}$, and in particular to obtain an exponential approximation of $\gamma_{t}$ by its regularization $\gamma_{t, \epsilon}$. Together with some results from [8], this allow us to prove Theorem 1 in section 4. In sections 5 and 6 we prove Theorem 2 on the lower tail of $\gamma_{t}$. Finally, these results are used in sections 7 and 8 to prove the LIL's of Theorems 3 and 4 respectively.

We thank Evarist Giné for supplying the elegant proof of Lemma 1.

## 2 Intersection local times

Let $X_{t}, X_{t}^{\prime}$ be two independent copies of the symmetric stable process of order $\beta$ in $R^{d}$ with characteristic exponent $\psi$ and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{t, \epsilon} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R^{d}} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}^{\prime}\right) d r d s \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{\epsilon}$ is an approximate $\delta$-function at zero, i.e. $f_{\epsilon}(x)=f(x / \epsilon) / \epsilon^{d}$ with $f \in \mathcal{S}\left(R^{d}\right)$ a positive, symmetric function with $\int f d x=1$. If $\widehat{f}(p)$ denotes the Fourier transform of $f$ then $\widehat{f}(\epsilon p)$ is the Fourier transform of $f_{\epsilon}$ and we have from (2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{t, \epsilon}=(2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R^{d}} e^{i p \cdot\left(X_{s}-X_{r}^{\prime}\right)} \widehat{f}(\epsilon p) d p d r d s \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5 Let $X_{t}, X_{t}^{\prime}$ be independent copies of a symmetric stable process of order $d / 2<\beta \leq d$ in $R^{d}$. Then for all $\rho>0$ sufficiently small we can find some $\theta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}, t>0} E\left(\exp \left\{\theta\left|\frac{\alpha_{t, \epsilon}-\alpha_{t, \epsilon^{\prime}}}{\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} t^{2-(d+\rho) / \beta}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}\right\}\right)<\infty . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0} \sup _{\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}, t>0} E\left(\exp \left\{\theta\left|\frac{\alpha_{t, \epsilon}-\alpha_{t, \epsilon^{\prime}}}{\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} t^{2-(d+\rho) / \beta}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}\right\}\right)=1 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 5: From (2.2) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{t, \epsilon}-\alpha_{t, \epsilon^{\prime}}=(2 \pi)^{-d} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{R^{d}} e^{i p \cdot\left(X_{s}-X_{r}^{\prime}\right)}\left(\widehat{f}(\epsilon p)-\widehat{f}\left(\epsilon^{\prime} p\right)\right) d p d r d s \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(\left\{\alpha_{t, \epsilon}-\alpha_{t, \epsilon^{\prime}}\right\}^{n}\right)=(2 \pi)^{-n d} \int_{[0, t]^{n}} & \int_{[0, t]^{n}} \int_{R^{d n}} E\left(e^{i \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}\left(X_{s_{k}}-X_{r_{k}}^{\prime}\right)}\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left\{\widehat{f}\left(\epsilon p_{j}\right)-\widehat{f}\left(\epsilon^{\prime} p_{j}\right)\right\} d p_{j} d r_{j} d s_{j} .
\end{align*}
$$

We then use the decomposition

$$
[0, t]^{n} \times[0, t]^{n}=\bigcup_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}} D_{n}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)
$$

where the union runs over all pairs of permutations $\pi, \pi^{\prime}$ of $\{1 \ldots, n\}$ and $D_{n}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(r_{1}, \ldots, r_{n}, s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \mid r_{\pi_{1}}<\cdots<r_{\pi_{n}} \leq t, s_{\pi_{1}^{\prime}}<\cdots<s_{\pi_{n}^{\prime}} \leq\right.$ $t\}$. Using this we then obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
E\left(\left\{\alpha_{t, \epsilon}-\alpha_{t, \epsilon^{\prime}}\right\}^{n}\right)=(2 \pi)^{-n d} \sum_{\pi, \pi^{\prime}} \int_{D_{n}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)} \int_{R^{d n}} E\left(e^{i \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}\left(X_{s_{k}}-X_{r_{k}}^{\prime}\right)}\right)  \tag{2.7}\\
\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left\{\widehat{f}\left(\epsilon p_{j}\right)-\widehat{f}\left(\epsilon^{\prime} p_{j}\right)\right\} d p_{j} d r_{j} d s_{j} .
\end{array}
$$

On $D_{n}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)$ we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}\left(X_{s_{k}}-X_{r_{k}}^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} u_{\pi, k}\left(X_{r_{\pi_{k}}}-X_{r_{\pi_{k-1}}}\right)-\sum_{k=1}^{n} v_{\pi^{\prime}, k}\left(X_{s_{\pi_{k}^{\prime}}}^{\prime}-X_{s_{\pi_{k-1}^{\prime}}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{\pi, k}=\sum_{j=k}^{n} p_{\pi_{j}}$ and $v_{\pi^{\prime}, k}=\sum_{j=k}^{n} p_{\pi_{j}^{\prime}}$. Hence on $D_{n}\left(\pi, \pi^{\prime}\right)$

We will use the bound $\left|\widehat{f}\left(\epsilon p_{j}\right)-\widehat{f}\left(\epsilon^{\prime} p_{j}\right)\right| \leq C\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right|^{\rho}\left|p_{j}\right|^{\rho}$ for any $\rho \leq 1$. Using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{d n}} E\left(e^{i \sum_{k=1}^{n} p_{k}\left(X_{s_{k}}-X_{r_{k}}^{\prime}\right)}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|p_{j}\right|^{\rho} d p_{j}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \leq\left(\int_{R^{d n}} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi\left(u_{\pi, k}\right)\left(r_{\pi_{k}}-r_{\pi_{k-1}}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|p_{j}\right|^{\rho} d p_{j}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \left(\int_{R^{d n}} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi\left(v_{\pi^{\prime}, k}\right)\left(s_{\pi_{k}^{\prime}}-s_{\pi_{k-1}^{\prime}}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|p_{j}\right|^{\rho} d p_{j}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now $\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|p_{j}\right|=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|p_{\pi_{j}}\right|=\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|u_{\pi, j}-u_{\pi, j+1}\right| \leq \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|u_{\pi, j}\right|+\left|u_{\pi, j+1}\right|$ so that, using (1.2) for the second inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{R^{2 n}} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi\left(u_{\pi, k}\right)\left(r_{\pi_{k}}-r_{\pi_{k-1}}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|p_{j}\right|^{\rho} d p_{j}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \leq \sum_{h} \int_{R^{n}} e^{-2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} \psi\left(u_{\pi, k}\right)\left(r_{\pi_{k}}-r_{\pi_{k-1}}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|u_{\pi, j}\right|^{h_{j} \rho} d u_{\pi, j}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq \sum_{h} \int_{R^{n}} e^{-c \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|u_{\pi, k}\right|^{\beta}\left(r_{\pi_{k}}-r_{\pi_{k-1}}\right)} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|u_{\pi, j}\right|^{h_{j} \rho} d u_{\pi, j} \\
& \leq C^{n} \sum_{h} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(r_{\pi_{k}}-r_{\pi_{k-1}}\right)^{-\left(d+h_{j} \rho\right) / \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum runs over all $h=\left(h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right)$ such that each $h_{j}=0,1$ or 2 and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} h_{j}=n$.

Hence, taking $\rho>0$ sufficiently small that $(d+2 \rho) / 2 \beta<1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(\left|\frac{\alpha_{t, \epsilon}-\alpha_{t, \epsilon^{\prime}}}{\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right|^{\rho}}\right|^{n}\right) & \leq C^{n}(n!)^{2}\left(\sum_{h} \int_{r_{1}<\cdots<r_{n} \leq t} \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(r_{j}-r_{j-1}\right)^{-\left(d+h_{j} \rho\right) / 2 \beta} d r_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& \leq C^{n}\left(t^{n(1-(d+\rho) / 2 \beta)} \frac{n!}{\Gamma(n(1-(d+\rho) / 2 \beta))}\right)^{2} \\
(2.12) & \leq C^{n} t^{2 n(1-(d+\rho) / 2 \beta)}(n!)^{(d+\rho) / \beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by Holder's inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(\left|\frac{\alpha_{t, \epsilon}-\alpha_{t, \epsilon^{\prime}}}{\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right| \rho t^{2-(d+\rho) / \beta}}\right|^{n \beta /(d+\rho)}\right) & \leq E\left(\left|\frac{\alpha_{t, \epsilon}-\alpha_{t, \epsilon^{\prime}}}{\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right| \rho t^{2-(d+\rho) / \beta}}\right|^{n}\right)^{\beta /(d+\rho)} \\
& \leq C^{n} n! \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Our Theorem follows easily from this.
If we set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{s, t, \epsilon} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{t} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}^{\prime}\right) d r d s \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

then by the same method we can show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{s, t}=\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{s, t, \epsilon} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists a.s. and in all $L^{p}$ spaces and for some $\theta>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s, t>0} E\left(\exp \left\{\theta\left|\frac{\alpha_{s, t}}{(s t)^{1-d / 2 \beta}}\right|^{\beta / d}\right\}\right)<\infty . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p_{t}(x)$ denote the density function for $X_{t}$ started at the origin.

Theorem 6 Let $X_{t}, X_{t}^{\prime}$ be independent copies of a symmetric stable process of order $d / 2<\beta<d$ in $R^{d}$. Let $P^{\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}$ be the joint law of $\left(X_{t}, X_{t}^{\prime}\right)$ when $X_{t}$ is started at $x_{0}$ and $X_{t}^{\prime}$ is started at $y_{0}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}\left(\alpha_{s, t}\right) \leq c_{\psi}\left[s^{2-d / \beta}+t^{2-d / \beta}-(s+t)^{2-d / \beta}\right] \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\psi}=\frac{p_{1}(0)}{(d / \beta-1)(2-d / \beta)} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $x_{0}=y_{0}$, then we have equality in (2.17).
If $\beta=d$ then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}\left(\alpha_{s, t}\right) \leq p_{1}(0)[(s+t) \log (s+t)-t \log t-s \log s] \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

with equality if $x_{0}=y_{0}$.
Proof of Theorem 6: We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E^{\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{t} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{r}-X_{u}^{\prime}\right) d r d u\right)  \tag{2.20}\\
& =\int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{t} \int f_{\epsilon}(x-y) p_{r}\left(x-x_{0}\right) p_{u}\left(y-y_{0}\right) d x d y d r d u \\
& =\int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{t} \int f_{\epsilon}(x) p_{r}\left(x+y-\left(x_{0}-y_{0}\right)\right) p_{u}(y) d x d y d r d u \\
& =\int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{t} \int f_{\epsilon}(x) p_{r+u}\left(x-\left(x_{0}-y_{0}\right)\right) d x d r d u
\end{align*}
$$

where the last line follows from the semigroup property. Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using the fact that (2.15) converges in $L^{1}$,

$$
E^{\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)}\left(\alpha_{s, t}\right)=\int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{t} p_{r+u}\left(x_{0}-y_{0}\right) d r d u
$$

The right hand side is less than or equal to

$$
\int_{0}^{s} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{p_{1}(0)}{(r+u)^{d / \beta}} d r d u
$$

with equality when $x_{0}=y_{0}$. Some routine calculus completes the proof.

## 3 Renormalized self-intersection local times

Let $X_{t}$ be a symmetric stable process of order $\beta$ in $R^{d}$. For any random variable $Y$ we set $\{Y\}_{0}=Y-E(Y)$. For each bounded Borel set $B \subseteq R_{+}^{2}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\epsilon}(B)=\left\{\int_{B} \int f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right) d r d s\right\}_{0} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $\gamma_{t, \epsilon}=\gamma_{\epsilon}\left(B_{t}\right)$ where $B_{t}=\left\{(r, s) \in R_{+}^{2} \mid 0 \leq r \leq s \leq t\right\}$.
Using the scaling $X_{\lambda s} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \lambda^{1 / \beta} X_{s}$ and $f_{\lambda \epsilon}(x)=\frac{1}{\lambda^{d}} f_{\epsilon}(x / \lambda)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\epsilon}(B) \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \lambda^{-(2-d / \beta)} \gamma_{\lambda^{1 / \beta} \epsilon}(\lambda B) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 7 Let $X_{t}$ be a symmetric stable process of order $\beta>2 d / 3$ in $R^{d}$. Then for all $\rho>0$ sufficiently small we can find some $\theta>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}, t>0} E\left(\exp \left\{\theta\left|\frac{\gamma_{t, \epsilon}-\gamma_{t, \epsilon^{\prime}}}{\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right|^{\rho} t^{2-(d+\rho) / \beta}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}\right\}\right)<\infty . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 7: Taking $\lambda=1 / t$ and $B=B_{t}$ in (3.2) we see that it suffices to prove (3.3) when $t=1$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{k}^{n}=\left[(2 k-2) 2^{-n},(2 k-1) 2^{-n}\right] \times\left[(2 k-1) 2^{-n},(2 k) 2^{-n}\right] \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $B_{1}=\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup_{k=1}^{2 n-1} A_{k}^{n}$ so that for any $\epsilon>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1, \epsilon}=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}} \gamma_{\epsilon}\left(A_{k}^{n}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use the following lemma whose proof is given at the end of this section.

Lemma 1 Let $0<p \leq 1$ and let $\left\{Y_{k}(\zeta)\right\}_{k \geq 1}$ be a family (indexed by $\zeta$ ) of sequences of i.i.d. real valued random functions such that $E\left(Y_{k}(\zeta)\right)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0} \sup _{\zeta} E e^{\theta\left|Y_{1}(\zeta)\right|^{p}}=1 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for some $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{n, \zeta} E \exp \left\{\lambda\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} Y_{k}(\zeta) / \sqrt{n}\right|^{p}\right\}<\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.4), for some $\rho>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\theta \rightarrow 0} \sup _{\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}>0} E\left(\exp \left\{\theta\left|\frac{\gamma_{\epsilon}\left(A_{1}^{1}\right)-\gamma_{\epsilon^{\prime}}\left(A_{1}^{1}\right)}{\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right|^{\rho}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}\right\}\right)=1 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence by our lemma, for some $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\phi}=: \sup _{N, \epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}>0}\left(E\left(\exp \left\{\lambda\left|\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}\left\{\gamma_{\epsilon}\left(2^{(N-1)} A_{k}^{N}\right)-\gamma_{\epsilon^{\prime}}\left(2^{(N-1)} A_{k}^{N}\right)\right\}}}{2^{(N-1) / 2}\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right|^{\rho}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}\right\}\right)\right)<\infty . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\beta>\frac{2}{3} d$, for $\rho>0$ sufficiently small

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=: \frac{3}{2} \beta /(d+\rho)-1>0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{1}=\lambda 2^{-a} \text { and } b_{N}=\lambda 2^{-a} \prod_{j=2}^{N}\left(1-2^{-a j}\right) \quad N=2,3, \cdots \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for any integer $N \geq 1$, by Holder's inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}, N}=: E\left(\exp \left\{b_{N}\left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}\left\{\gamma_{\epsilon}\left(A_{k}^{n}\right)-\gamma_{\epsilon^{\prime}}\left(A_{k}^{n}\right)\right\}}}{\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right|^{\rho}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}\right\}\right) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\leq\left(E\left(\exp \left\{\frac{b_{N}}{\left(1-2^{-a N}\right)}\left|\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n-1}}\left\{\gamma_{\epsilon}\left(A_{k}^{n}\right)-\gamma_{\epsilon^{\prime}}\left(A_{k}^{n}\right)\right\}}{\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right|^{\rho}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}\right\}\right)\right)^{1-2^{-a N}}
$$

$$
\times\left(E\left(\exp \left\{b_{N} 2^{a N}\left|\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}\left\{\gamma_{\epsilon}\left(A_{k}^{N}\right)-\gamma_{\epsilon^{\prime}}\left(A_{k}^{N}\right)\right\}}}{\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right|^{\rho}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}\right\}\right)\right)^{2^{-a N}}
$$

Taking $\lambda=2^{N-1}$ in (3.2) we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}}\left\{\gamma_{\epsilon}\left(A_{k}^{N}\right)-\gamma_{\epsilon^{\prime}}\left(A_{k}^{N}\right)\right\}  \tag{3.13}\\
& \underline{\underline{\mathcal{L}}} 2^{-(2-d / \beta)(N-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}}\left\{\gamma_{\epsilon 2^{(N-1) / \beta}}\left(2^{(N-1)} A_{k}^{N}\right)-\gamma_{2^{(N-1) / \beta} \epsilon^{\prime}}\left(2^{(N-1)} A_{k}^{N}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.10), we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(2-\frac{d}{\beta}\right)-\frac{\rho}{\beta}-a \frac{(d+\rho)}{\beta}=1 / 2 . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2^{a N}\left|\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}\left\{\gamma_{\epsilon}\left(A_{k}^{N}\right)-\gamma_{\epsilon^{\prime}}\left(A_{k}^{N}\right)\right\}}}{\left|\epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime}\right|^{\rho}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}  \tag{3.15}\\
& \leq 2^{a}\left|\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2^{N-1}\left\{\gamma_{\epsilon 2^{(N-1) / \beta}}\left(2^{(N-1)} A_{k}^{N}\right)-\gamma_{\epsilon^{\prime} 2^{(N-1) / \beta}}\left(2^{(N-1)} A_{k}^{N}\right)\right\}}}{2^{(N-1) / 2}\left|\epsilon 2^{(N-1) / \beta}-\epsilon^{\prime} 2^{(N-1) / \beta}\right|^{\rho}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}
\end{align*}
$$

Using this, (3.9), and the fact that $b_{N} 2^{a} \leq \lambda$ for the last line of (3.12), and (3.11) and the fact that $1-2^{-a N}<1$ for the second line of (3.12) we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}, N} \leq \Psi_{\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}, N-1} \exp \left\{\phi 2^{-a N}\right\} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inductively,

$$
\Psi_{\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime}, N} \leq \exp \left\{\phi 2^{-a}\left(1-2^{-a}\right)^{-1}\right\}
$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$, our Theorem follows by (3.5) and Fatou's lemma.
It follows from our Theorem and Kolmogorov's continuity theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{t}=: \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \gamma_{\epsilon, t} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists a.s and in all $L^{p}$ spaces.
Furthermore, it follows from our Theorem that for some $\rho, \theta>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\epsilon, t>0} E\left(\exp \left\{\theta\left|\frac{\gamma_{t}-\gamma_{t, \epsilon}}{|\epsilon|^{\rho} t^{2-(d+\rho) / \beta}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}\right\}\right)<\infty \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, since for $\rho>0$ sufficiently small $\beta /(d+\rho)>1 / 2$, it follows that for any $\lambda, \delta>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(\exp \left\{\lambda\left|\gamma_{t}-\gamma_{t, \epsilon}\right|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right) & \leq e^{\lambda \delta t}+E\left(\exp \left\{\lambda\left|\gamma_{t}-\gamma_{t, \epsilon}\right|^{1 / 2}\right\} 1_{\left\{\left|\gamma_{t}-\gamma_{t, \epsilon}\right| \geq(\delta t)^{2}\right\}}\right)  \tag{3.19}\\
& \leq e^{\lambda \delta t}+E\left(\exp \left\{\lambda\left|\frac{\gamma_{t}-\gamma_{t, \epsilon}}{(\delta t)^{2-(d+\rho) / \beta}}\right|^{\beta /(d+\rho)}\right\}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.18) we conclude that for any $\lambda>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E\left(\exp \left\{\lambda\left|\gamma_{t}-\gamma_{t, \epsilon}\right|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)=0 . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

For later reference we note that arguments similar to those used in proving our Theorem show that for some $\theta>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t>0} E\left(\exp \left\{\theta\left|\frac{\gamma_{t}}{t^{2-d / \beta}}\right|^{\beta / d}\right\}\right)<\infty \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

(In fact, by scaling we only need this for $t=1$ ).
Proof of Lemma 1: Let $\psi_{p}(x)=e^{x^{p}}-1$ for large $x$ and linear near the origin so that $\psi_{p}(x)$ is convex. We use $\|\cdot\|_{\psi_{p}}$ to denote the norm of the Orlicz space $L_{\psi_{p}}$ with Young's function $\psi_{p}$. The assumption (3.6) of our Lemma implies that for some $M<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\zeta}\left\|Y_{1}(\zeta)\right\|_{\psi_{p}} \leq M \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 6.21 of [17], if $\xi_{k}$ are i.i.d. copies of a mean zero random variable $\xi_{1} \in L_{\psi_{p}}$ then for some constant $K_{p}$ depending only on $p$

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_{k}\right\|_{\psi_{p}} \leq K_{p}\left(\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_{k}\right\|_{L_{1}}+\left\|\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left|\xi_{k}\right|\right\|_{\psi_{p}}\right) .
$$

Using Prop 4.3.1 of [11], for some constant $C_{p}$ depending only on $p$

$$
\left\|\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left|\xi_{k}\right|\right\|_{\psi_{p}} \leq C_{p}(\log n)\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|_{\psi_{p}}
$$

Since the $\xi_{k}$ are i.i.d. and mean zero

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_{k}\right\|_{L_{1}} \leq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_{k}\right\|_{L_{2}} \leq \sqrt{n}\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|_{L_{2}}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_{k} / \sqrt{n}\right\|_{\psi_{p}} \leq D_{p}\left(\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|_{L_{2}}+\frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}}\left\|\xi_{1}\right\|_{\psi_{p}}\right)
$$

for some constant $D_{p}$ depending only on $p$. Our Lemma follows immediately from this.

## 4 Large deviations for renormalized self-intersection local times

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\psi}(f, f)=: \int_{R^{d}} \psi(\lambda)|\widehat{f}(\lambda)|^{2} d \lambda \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\psi}=\left\{f \in L^{2}\left(R^{d}\right) \mid\|f\|_{2}=1, \mathcal{E}_{\psi}(f, f)<\infty\right\} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following Lemma is proven is Section 2 of [8].
Lemma 2 If $\beta>d / 2$ then for any $\lambda>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\psi}(\lambda)=: \sup _{f \in \mathcal{F}_{\psi}}\left\{\lambda\|f\|_{4}^{2}-\mathcal{E}_{\psi}(f, f)\right\}<\infty . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\psi}(\lambda)=\lambda^{2 \beta /(2 \beta-d)} M_{\psi}(1) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{\psi}=: \inf \left\{C \mid\|f\|_{2 p} \leq C\|f\|_{2}^{1-d / 2 \beta}\left[\mathcal{E}_{\psi}^{1 / 2}(f, f)\right]^{d / 2 \beta}\right\}<\infty \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\psi}(1)=\frac{2 \beta-d}{d}\left(\frac{d \kappa_{\psi}^{2}}{2 \beta}\right)^{2 \beta /(2 \beta-d)} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $M_{\psi}=M_{\psi}(1)$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\psi}=\frac{d}{\beta}\left(\frac{2 \beta-d}{2 \beta M_{\psi}}\right)^{(2 \beta-d) / d} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1: We show that if $X_{t}$ is a symmetric stable process of order $\beta>2 d / 3$ in $R^{d}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P\left\{\gamma_{t} \geq t^{2}\right\}=-2^{\beta / d-1} K_{\psi} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $h$ be a positive, symmetric function in the Schwartz class $\mathcal{S}\left(R^{d}\right)$ with $\int h d x=1$, and note that $f=h * h$ has the same properties and $f_{\epsilon}=h_{\epsilon} * h_{\epsilon}$.

Using this, observe that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right) d r d s  \tag{4.9}\\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{t} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right) d r d s \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \int_{R^{d}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-x\right) d s\right)^{2} d x
\end{align*}
$$

hence, by Theorem 5 of [8], for any $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E \exp \left\{\lambda\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right) d r d s\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}  \tag{4.10}\\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E \exp \left\{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\int_{R^{d}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} h_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-x\right) d s\right)^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}\right\} \\
& =\sup _{g \in \mathcal{F}_{\psi}}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\int_{R^{d}}\left|\left(g^{2} * h_{\epsilon}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}-\mathcal{E}_{\psi}(g, g)\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

For each fixed $\epsilon>0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& E\left(\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right) d r d s\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
& =\int_{R^{d}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} E\left(e^{i p \cdot\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right)}\right) d r d s \widehat{f}(\epsilon p) d p \\
& =\int_{R^{d}} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} e^{-(s-r) p^{\beta}} d r d s \widehat{f}(\epsilon p) d p \\
& \leq C t \int_{R^{d}} \frac{1}{p^{\beta}} \widehat{f}(\epsilon p) d p=O(t)
\end{align*}
$$

if $\beta<d$. (When $\beta=d$ we can easily obtain $O\left(t^{1+\delta}\right)$ for any $\delta>0$ ). Using (3.20) we conclude that for any $\lambda>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E\left(\exp \left\{\lambda\left|\gamma_{t}-\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right) d r d s\right|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)=0 \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence using (4.10) together with the argument used to take the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit in [8] and then recalling (4.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E \exp \left\{\lambda\left|\gamma_{t}\right|^{1 / 2}\right\} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup _{g \in \mathcal{F}_{\psi}}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\int_{R^{d}}\left|\left(g^{2} * h_{\epsilon}\right)(x)\right|^{2} d x\right)^{1 / 2}-\mathcal{E}_{\psi}(g, g)\right\} \\
& =\sup _{g \in \mathcal{F}_{\psi}}\left\{\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\int_{R^{d}} g^{4}(x) d x\right)^{1 / 2}-\mathcal{E}_{\psi}(g, g)\right\} \\
& =\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\frac{2 \beta}{2 \beta-d}} M_{\psi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Gärtner-Ellis Theorem, [9, Theorem 2.3.6]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log P\left\{\left|\gamma_{t}\right| \geq t^{2}\right\}  \tag{4.14}\\
& =-\sup _{\lambda>0}\left\{\lambda-\left(\frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^{\frac{2 \beta}{2 \beta-d}} M_{\psi}\right\}=-2^{\frac{\beta}{d}-1} \frac{d}{\beta}\left(\frac{2 \beta-d}{2 \beta M_{\psi}}\right)^{\frac{2 \beta-d}{d}}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, writing $\gamma_{t}=\gamma_{t}^{+}-\gamma_{t}^{-}$and using the positivity of $\int_{0}^{t} \int_{0}^{s} f_{\epsilon}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}\right) d r d s$ and (4.12) we have that for any $\lambda$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log E\left(\exp \left\{\lambda\left|\gamma_{t}^{-}\right|^{1 / 2}\right\}\right)=0 \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our Theorem then follows.

## 5 The lower tail; $\beta<d$

Proof of Theorem 2 when $\beta<d$ : Let $B([s, t])=: \gamma(\{(u, v) \mid s \leq u \leq v \leq t\})$ and note that $\gamma([0, s ; s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=}\left\{\alpha_{s, t-s}\right\}_{0}$. Thus for any positive $s$ and $t$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma_{s+t}  \tag{5.1}\\
& =\gamma_{s}+B([s, s+t])+\gamma([0, s] ;[s, s+t]) \\
& \geq \gamma_{s}+B([s, s+t])-E \alpha([0, s] ;[s, s+t])
\end{align*}
$$

$\gamma_{s} \in \mathcal{F}_{s}, B([s, s+t])$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{s}$, and $B([s, s+t])$ has the same distribution as $\gamma_{t}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{t}=c_{\psi} t^{2-d / \beta}-\gamma_{t}, \quad Z_{s, t}=c_{\psi} t^{2-d / \beta}-B([s, s+t]) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the above $\left\{Z_{s, t} ; t \geq 0\right\}$ is independent of $\left\{Z_{u} ; u \leq s\right\}$ and we have $\left\{Z_{s, t} ; t \geq 0\right\} \stackrel{d}{=}\left\{Z_{t} ; \quad t \geq 0\right\}$. Using (5.1) and Theorem 6 we have that for any $s, t>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{s+t} \leq Z_{s}+Z_{s, t} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $a>0$, define

$$
\tau_{a}=\inf \left\{s ; \quad Z_{s} \geq a\right\}
$$

By continuity $Z_{\tau_{a}}=a$ on $\tau_{a}<\infty$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(h)=\sup _{\substack{0 \leq s, t \leq 1 \\|t-s| \leq h}}\left|Z_{t}-Z_{s}\right| \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $a, b, n>0$ and $0<\delta<a, b$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left\{\sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{t} \geq a+b, \phi(1 / n) \leq \delta\right\}  \tag{5.5}\\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} P\left\{\sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{t} \geq a+b, \phi(1 / n) \leq \delta, \quad j / n \leq \tau_{a}<(j+1) / n\right\} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} P\left\{\sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{(j+1) / n, t} \geq b-\delta, \quad j / n \leq \tau_{a}<(j+1) / n\right\} \\
& =\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} P\left\{\sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{(j+1) / n, t} \geq b-\delta\right\} P\left\{j / n \leq \tau_{a}<(j+1) / n\right\} \\
& \leq P\left\{\sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{t} \geq a\right\} P\left\{\sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{t} \geq b-\delta\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the continuity of $Z_{s}$ and first taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\delta \rightarrow 0$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left\{\sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{t} \geq a+b\right\} \leq P\left\{\sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{t} \geq a\right\} P\left\{\sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{t} \geq b\right\} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, there is $c>0$ such that for some $\lambda_{0}<\infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left\{\sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{t} \geq \lambda\right\} \leq e^{-c \lambda}, \quad \forall \lambda>\lambda_{0} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \exp \left\{c_{0} \sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{t}\right\}<\infty \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c_{0}>0$. Then by the sub-additivity (5.3) and what we have just proven, there is $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
E \exp \left\{c_{0} \sup _{t \leq n} Z_{t}\right\} \leq\left(E \exp \left\{c_{0} \sup _{t \leq 1} Z_{t}\right\}\right)^{n}<\infty
$$

for all $n$. Then by the scaling (1.10) we see that (5.8) holds for all $c_{0}>0$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \exp \left\{c \sup _{t \leq n}\left\{-\gamma_{t}\right\}\right\}<\infty, \quad \forall c, n>0 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting now

$$
a_{\lambda}(t)=\log \left(E \exp \left\{\lambda Z_{t}\right\}\right)
$$

by the sub-additivity (5.3) we have that for any positive $s, t, \lambda$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{\lambda}(s+t) \leq a_{\lambda}(s)+a_{\lambda}(t) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} a_{\lambda}(t)=\inf _{t \geq 1}\left\{\frac{1}{t} a_{\lambda}(t)\right\}=: L_{\lambda}<\infty \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last inequality folllows from (5.9). Note that

$$
a_{\lambda}(t)=\lambda c_{\beta} t^{2-d / \beta}+\log \left(E \exp \left\{-\lambda \gamma_{t}\right\}\right)
$$

with $2-d / \beta<1$, so that (5.11) implies that for any $\lambda>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left(E \exp \left\{-\lambda \gamma_{t}\right\}\right)=L_{\lambda}<\infty \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from Theorem 8, immediately following, that $L_{\lambda_{0}}>0$ for some $0<\lambda_{0}<\infty$. Using the scaling (1.10) it follows from (5.12) that for any $\lambda>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left(E \exp \left\{-\lambda \gamma_{t}\right\}\right)=\lambda^{\beta /(2 \beta-d)} \lambda_{0}^{-\beta /(2 \beta-d)} L_{\lambda_{0}} \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It then follows by the Gärtner-Ellis Theorem, compare (4.13)-(4.14), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log P\left\{-\gamma_{t} \geq t\right\}=-b_{\psi} \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $b_{\psi}=\left(\frac{d-\beta}{\beta}\right)\left(\frac{2 \beta-d}{\beta L_{\lambda_{0}}}\right)^{\frac{2 \beta-d}{d-\beta}} \lambda_{0}^{\beta /(d-\beta)}$. This will complete the proof of Theorem 2 when $\beta<d$.

Theorem 8 Let $X_{t}$ be a symmetric stable process of order $2 d / 3<\beta<d$ in $R^{d}$. There exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(-\gamma_{n} \geq c_{1} n\right) \geq c_{2}^{n} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 8: Let $A(I ; J)$ denote the intersection local time between $X(I)$ and $X(J)$, where $X(I)=\left\{X_{s}: s \in I\right\}$ for an interval $I$ and let $B(I)$ denote the renormalized self-intersection local time of $X(I) . \epsilon<1 / 4$ will be chosen later. Set $M=\epsilon^{-1}$. First of all, $-B([0,1])$ has mean 0 and is not identically zero. So there exist positive constants $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ not depending on $\epsilon$ such that

$$
P\left(-B([0,1])>\kappa_{1}\right)>\kappa_{2} .
$$

By scaling,

$$
P\left(-B\left(\left[\epsilon^{2}, 1-\epsilon^{2}\right]\right)>\kappa_{1} / 2\right)>\kappa_{2} .
$$

If we choose $\epsilon$ small enough, by the fact that the paths of $X_{t}$ are right continuous with left limits,

$$
P\left(\sup _{\epsilon^{2} \leq s \leq 1-\epsilon^{2}}\left|X_{s}-X_{\epsilon^{2}}\right|>M / 2\right) \leq \kappa_{2} / 2 .
$$

Therefore if

$$
E_{1}=\left\{-B\left(\left[\epsilon^{2}, 1-\epsilon^{2}\right]\right)>\kappa_{1} / 2, \sup _{\epsilon^{2} \leq s \leq 1-\epsilon^{2}}\left|X_{s}-X_{\epsilon^{2}}\right| \leq M / 2\right\}
$$

then

$$
P\left(E_{1}\right) \geq \kappa_{2} / 2
$$

Let $S_{k}=B\left((M k, 0), \epsilon^{2}\right)$ and let $Q_{k}$ be the square which has one diagonal going from $(M k-4 \epsilon, 0)$ to $(M(k+1)+4 \epsilon, 0)$. Let $z_{k}$ be the center of $Q_{k}$, that is, $z_{k}=\left(M\left(k+\frac{1}{2}\right), 0\right)$. Let

$$
E_{2}=\left\{X_{\epsilon^{2}} \in B\left(z_{k}, 1\right) \text { and for } s \in\left[0, \epsilon^{2}\right], X_{s} \in Q_{k}\right\} .
$$

Let

$$
E_{3}=\left\{X_{\epsilon^{2}} \in S_{k+1} \text { and for } s \in\left[0, \epsilon^{2}\right], X_{s} \in Q_{k}\right\}
$$

Lemma 3 (a) There exists $c_{3}$ such that if $x \in S_{k}$ and $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small, then

$$
P^{x}\left(E_{2}\right) \geq c_{3} \epsilon^{4+\beta} .
$$

(b) If $x \in B\left(z_{k}, M / 2\right)$ and $\epsilon$ is sufficiently small, then

$$
P^{x}\left(E_{3}\right) \geq c_{3} \epsilon^{6+\beta} .
$$

Proof of Lemma 3: (a) Let $\tau=\inf \left\{t:\left|X_{t}-X_{0}\right|>\epsilon / 2\right\}$. By scaling and the fact that $\beta>1, P\left(\sup _{s \leq \epsilon^{2}}\left|X_{s}-X_{0}\right|>\epsilon / 2\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. So by taking $\epsilon$ small enough, we may assume that

$$
P^{x}\left(\tau \leq \epsilon^{2}\right) \leq 1 / 2
$$

for all $x$.
By the Lévy system formula for stable processes (see [2], Lemma 2.3, for example),

$$
\begin{align*}
P^{x}\left(X_{\tau \wedge \epsilon^{2}} \in B\left(z_{k}, 1 / 2\right)\right) \geq E^{x} & \sum_{s \leq \tau \wedge \epsilon^{2}} 1_{\left(X_{s-} \in B((M k, 0), \epsilon / 2)\right)} 1_{\left(X_{s} \in B\left(z_{k}, 1 / 2\right)\right)}  \tag{5.16}\\
& =E^{x} \int_{0}^{\tau \wedge \epsilon^{2}} \int_{B\left(z_{k}, 1 / 2\right)} n\left(X_{s}, z\right) d z d s
\end{align*}
$$

where $n(y, z)=c_{4}|y-z|^{-2-\beta}$. Since $n(y, z)$ is bounded below by $c_{4} M^{-2-\beta}$ if $y \in B((M k, 0), 2 \epsilon)$ and $z \in B\left(z_{k}, 1 / 2\right)$, we see

$$
\begin{gather*}
P^{x}\left(X_{\tau \wedge \epsilon^{2}} \in B\left(z_{k}, 1 / 2\right)\right) \geq c_{4} \epsilon^{2+\beta} E^{x}\left[\tau \wedge \epsilon^{2}\right] \geq c_{4} \epsilon^{2+\beta} E^{x}\left[\epsilon^{2} ; \tau>\epsilon^{2}\right]  \tag{5.17}\\
=c_{4} \epsilon^{2+\beta} \epsilon^{2} P^{x}\left(\tau>\epsilon^{2}\right) \geq c_{3} \epsilon^{4+\beta} / 2
\end{gather*}
$$

We noted in the first paragraph of the proof that there is probability at least $1 / 2$ that $X_{t}$ moves no more than $\epsilon / 2$ in time $\epsilon^{2}$. So by using the strong Markov property at time $\tau$, there is probability at least $c_{4} \epsilon^{4+\beta} / 4$ that $X_{t}$ exits $S_{k}$ by time $\epsilon^{2}$, jumps to $B\left(z_{k}, 1 / 2\right)$, and then stays in $B\left(z_{k}, 1\right)$ until time $\tau+\epsilon^{2}$. But this event is contained in $E_{2}$.
(b) The proof of (b) is similar. Using the Lévy system formula,

$$
P^{x}\left(X_{\tau \wedge \epsilon^{2}} \in B((M(k+1), 0), \epsilon / 2) \geq E^{x} \int_{0}^{\tau \wedge \epsilon^{2}} \int_{B((M(k+1), 0), \epsilon / 2)} n\left(X_{s}, z\right) d z d s\right.
$$

This in turn is greater than or equal to

$$
c_{5} \epsilon^{2} M^{-2-\beta} E^{x}\left[\tau \wedge \epsilon^{2}\right] \geq c_{6} \epsilon^{6+\beta}
$$

We chose $\epsilon$ so that the probability that $X_{t}$ moves no more than $\epsilon / 2$ in time $\epsilon^{2}$ is at least $1 / 2$. Using the strong Markov property at time $\tau$, there is probability at least $c_{6} \epsilon^{6+\beta} / 2$ that the process exits $B(x, \epsilon / 2)$ by time $\epsilon^{2}$, jumps to $B((M(k+1), 0), \epsilon / 2)$, and then moves no more than $\epsilon / 2$ in time $\epsilon^{2}$. This event is contained in $E_{3}$, and (b) follows. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

Let

$$
E_{3}^{\prime}=E_{3} \circ \theta_{1-\epsilon^{2}}=\left\{X_{1} \in S_{k+1} \text { and for } s \in\left[1-\epsilon^{2}, 1\right], X_{s} \in Q_{k}\right\} .
$$

Using Lemma 3 and the Markov property at times $\epsilon^{2}$ and $1-\epsilon^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{x}\left(E_{1} \cap E_{2} \cap E_{3}^{\prime}\right) \geq c_{3}^{2} \epsilon^{10+2 \beta} \kappa_{2} / 2 . \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{4}=\left\{B\left[0, \epsilon^{2}\right]>\kappa_{1} / 16\right\}  \tag{5.19}\\
& E_{5}=\left\{B\left[1-\epsilon^{2}, 1\right]>\kappa_{1} / 16\right\} \\
& E_{6}=\left\{A\left(\left[0, \epsilon^{2}\right] ;\left[\epsilon^{2}, 1\right]\right)>\kappa_{1} / 16\right\} \\
& E_{7}=\left\{A\left(\left[0,1-\epsilon^{2}\right] ;\left[1-\epsilon^{2}, 1\right]\right)>\kappa_{1} / 16\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 4 There exist $c_{7}, c_{8}$ and $b$ not depending on $\epsilon$ such that

$$
P\left(E_{4}\right)+P\left(E_{5}\right)+P\left(E_{6}\right)+P\left(E_{7}\right) \leq c_{7} e^{-c_{8} / \epsilon^{b}}
$$

Proof of Lemma 4: The estimates for $E_{4}$ and $E_{5}$ follow from the scaling (1.10) and (1.11). By (2.16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A[0,1] ;[1,1+a])>\lambda) \leq c_{9} e^{-c_{10} \lambda^{\beta / d} / a^{\beta / d-1 / 2}} \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This and scaling give us the desired estimates for $E_{6}$ and $E_{7}$. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.

Recall that the occupation measure $\mu_{T}^{X}$ is defined as

$$
\mu_{t}^{X}(A)=\int_{0}^{t} 1_{A}\left(X_{s}\right) d s
$$

for all Borel sets $A \subseteq R^{d}$. If $p_{s}(x)$ is the probability density function for $X_{s}$ and $u(x)=\int_{0}^{\infty} p_{s}(x) d s$ is the 0 -potential density for $X$ it is easily checked that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E^{x}\left(\left\{\mu_{\infty}^{X}(A)\right\}^{n}\right)=n!\int \prod_{j=1}^{n} u\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) 1_{A}\left(x_{i}\right) d x_{i} \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}=x$. Hence if

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{A}=\sup _{x} \int u(x-y) 1_{A}(y) d y \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have that $\sup _{x} E^{x}\left(\left\{\mu_{\infty}^{X}(A)\right\}^{n}\right) \leq n!c_{A}^{n}$ and thus

$$
\sup _{x} E^{x}\left(\exp \left\{\mu_{\infty}^{X}(A) / 2 c_{A}\right\}\right) \leq 2
$$

so that by Chebycheff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x} P^{x}\left(\mu_{\infty}^{X}(A) \geq 2 \lambda c_{A}\right) \leq 2 e^{-\lambda} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B(x, r)$ denote the open ball of radius $r$ centered at $x$.
Lemma 5 Let $\delta \in(0,2 \beta-2)$ and $M>2$. There exist constants $c_{11}$ and $c_{12}$ depending only on $M$ and $\delta$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\sup _{|x| \leq M, 0<r \leq 1} \frac{\mu_{\infty}^{X}(B(x, r))}{r^{\beta-\delta}}>\lambda\right) \leq c_{11} M^{2} e^{-c_{12} \lambda} . \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 5: First fix $x$ and $r$. Since $u(y-z) \leq c_{13}|y-z|^{\beta-2}$, using symmetry $c_{B(x, r)}$ is bounded by

$$
\int_{B(x, r)} c_{13}|x-z|^{\beta-2} d z=c_{14} r^{\beta} .
$$

Applying (5.23),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\mu_{\infty}^{X}(B(x, r))>\lambda r^{\beta-\delta}\right) \leq 2 e^{-c_{15} \lambda r^{-\delta}} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose now that $\mu_{\infty}^{X}(B(x, r))>\lambda r^{\beta-\delta}$ for some $|x| \leq M$ and some $r \in(0,1)$. Choose $k$ such that $2^{-k-1} \leq r<2^{-k}$ and choose $x^{\prime}$ so that both coordinates of $x^{\prime}$ are integer multiples of $2^{-k}$ and $\left|x-x^{\prime}\right| \leq 2^{-k+1}$. Therefore

$$
\mu_{\infty}^{X}\left(B\left(x^{\prime}, 2^{-k+3}\right)\right)>c_{16} \lambda\left(2^{-k+3}\right)^{\beta-\delta}
$$

where $c_{16}$ does not depend on $k$.
Since there are at most $c_{17} M^{2} 2^{2 k}$ points in $B(0,2 M)$ such that both coordinates are integer multiples of $2^{-k}$, then if $2^{-k-1} \leq r<2^{-k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\sup _{|x| \leq M} \frac{\mu_{\infty}^{X}(B(x, r))}{r^{\beta-\delta}}>c_{16} \lambda\right) \leq c_{18} 2^{2 k} M^{2} e^{-c_{18} \lambda 2^{-\delta k}} \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Summing the right hand side of (5.26) over $k$ from -4 to $\infty$ yields the right hand side of (5.24). This completes the proof of Lemma 5.

By Lemma 5 it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\sup _{|x| \leq M, 0<r \leq 1} \frac{\mu_{\infty}^{X}(B(x, r))}{r^{\beta-\delta}}>\kappa_{1} \log ^{2}(1 / \epsilon) / 8\right) \leq c_{3}^{2} \epsilon^{10+2 \beta} \kappa_{2} / 4 \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $\epsilon$ is small enough.
Let $\mu_{t, t^{\prime}}^{X}(A)=\int_{t}^{t^{\prime}} 1_{A}\left(X_{s}\right) d s$ and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{k}=\left\{X_{k} \in S_{k}, X_{k+1} \in S_{k+1}, \text { and for } k \leq s \leq k+1, X_{s} \in Q_{k}\right. \\
&\left.\quad-B[0,1] \geq \kappa_{1} / 4, \sup _{|x| \leq M, 0<r \leq 1} \frac{\mu_{k, k+1}^{X}(B(x, r))}{r^{\beta-\delta}} \leq \kappa_{1} \log ^{2}(1 / \epsilon) / 8\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (5.18), Lemma 4, (5.27) and the Markov property

$$
P\left(D_{k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right) \geq c_{19} \epsilon^{10+2 \beta} \kappa_{2} / 4
$$

on $D_{k-1}$.
Let

$$
F_{k}=\left\{A([k-1, k] ;[k, k+1]) \leq \kappa_{1} / 8\right\}, \quad F_{0}=\Omega
$$

and

$$
L_{k}=D_{k} \cap F_{k}
$$

Lemma 6 Let $\delta \in(0,2 \beta-2)$. We have

$$
P\left(F_{k}^{c} \cap D_{k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right) \leq c_{20} e^{-c_{21} / \epsilon^{2 \beta-2-\delta}}
$$

on the event $\cap_{j=1}^{k-1} L_{j}$.
Proof of Lemma 6: When $k=0$ there is nothing to prove, so let us suppose $k \geq 1$. As before, $A([k-1, k] ;[k, k+1])$ has the distribution of $\alpha_{1}$, and using the properties of $D_{k-1}, D_{k}$ and the Markov property we have, recalling (2.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(F_{k}^{c} \cap D_{k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right)  \tag{5.28}\\
& \leq \sup _{x \in S_{k}, X^{\prime} \in D_{k}^{\prime}} P_{X}^{x}\left(\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} f_{\rho}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}^{\prime}\right) 1_{Q_{k}}\left(X_{s}\right) d r d s \geq \kappa_{1} / 8\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $P_{X}^{x}$ denotes probability with respect to the process $X$, while the independent process $X^{\prime}$ is fixed, and
$D_{k}^{\prime}=\left\{\mu_{1}^{X^{\prime}}(\cdot)\right.$ is supported on $\left.Q_{k-1}, \sup _{|x| \leq M, 0<r \leq 1} \frac{\mu_{1}^{X^{\prime}}(B(x, r))}{r^{\beta-\delta}} \leq \kappa_{1} \log ^{2}(1 / \epsilon) / 8\right\}$.
We have

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{X}^{x}\left(\left\{\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{1} f_{\rho}\left(X_{s}-X_{r}^{\prime}\right) 1_{Q_{k}}\left(X_{s}\right) d r d s\right\}^{n}\right)  \tag{5.29}\\
& =n!\lim _{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{[0,1]^{n d}} \int_{R^{n d}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} u\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) f_{\rho}\left(x_{i}-X_{r_{i}}^{\prime}\right) 1_{Q_{k}}\left(x_{i}\right) d x_{i} d r_{i} \\
& =n!\int_{R^{n d}} \prod_{j=1}^{n} u\left(x_{i}-x_{i-1}\right) 1_{Q_{k}}\left(x_{i}\right) d \mu_{1}^{X^{\prime}}\left(x_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

with $x_{0}=x$ and the interchange of limit and expectation can be justified as in section 2. As in the proof of (5.23) it then follows that $P\left(F_{k}^{c} \cap D_{k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right) \leq$ $c_{22} e^{-c_{23} / \bar{c}}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{c}=\sup _{x \in Q_{k-1} \cap Q_{k}, X^{\prime} \in D_{k}^{\prime}} \int_{R^{d}} u(y-x) 1_{Q_{k}}(y) d \mu_{1}^{X^{\prime}}(y) . \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mu$ is supported on $Q_{k-1}$ and $Q_{k-1} \cap Q_{k} \subset B((M k, 0), 16 \epsilon)$, if we choose $k_{0}$ so that $32 \epsilon \geq 2^{-k_{0}} \geq 16 \epsilon$, we have that the right hand side of (5.30) is bounded by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} \int_{B\left(x, 2^{-k}\right) \backslash B\left(x, 2^{-k-1}\right)} u(y-x) d \mu_{1}^{X^{\prime}}(y)  \tag{5.31}\\
& \leq c_{24} \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty}\left(2^{-k}\right)^{\beta-2} \mu_{1}^{X^{\prime}}\left(B\left(x, 2^{-k}\right)\right) \\
& \leq c_{25} \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} 2^{-k(\beta-2)}\left(2^{-k}\right)^{\beta-\delta} \\
& =c_{25} \sum_{k=k_{0}}^{\infty} 2^{-k(2 \beta-2-\delta)} \leq c_{26} \epsilon^{2 \beta-2-\delta} .
\end{align*}
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.
If $\epsilon$ is small enough, we thus conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(L_{k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right) \geq c_{27} \epsilon^{10+2 \beta} \kappa_{2} / 8 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the event $\cap_{j=1}^{k-1} L_{j}$. Take $\epsilon$ sufficiently small, but now fix it, and let $\kappa_{3}=$ $c_{27} \epsilon^{4+\beta} \kappa_{2} / 8$. We have

$$
P\left(\cap_{j=1}^{k} L_{j}\right)=E\left[P\left(L_{k} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k}\right) ; \cap_{j=1}^{k-1} L_{j}\right] \geq \kappa_{3} P\left(\cap_{j=1}^{k-1} L_{j}\right) .
$$

By induction,

$$
P\left(\cap_{j=1}^{n} L_{j}\right) \geq \kappa_{3}^{n} .
$$

On the event $M_{n}=\cap_{j=1}^{n} L_{j}$ we have that $X_{s} \in Q_{k}$ if $k \leq s \leq k+1$, and so there are no intersections between $X\left(I_{i}\right)$ and $X\left(I_{j}\right)$ if $|i-j|>1$, where $I_{i}=[i, i+1]$. Furthermore, on $M_{n}$, we have

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n}-B\left(I_{k}\right) \geq \kappa_{1} n / 4
$$

while

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} A\left(I_{k} ; I_{k+1}\right) \leq \kappa_{1} n / 8
$$

Since

$$
-B([0, n]) \geq \sum_{k=0}^{n}-B\left(I_{k}\right)-\sum_{k=0}^{n} A\left(I_{k} ; I_{k+1}\right) \geq \kappa_{1} n / 8
$$

on the event $M_{n}$ and $P\left(M_{n}\right) \geq \kappa_{3}^{n}$, Theorem 8 is proved.

## 6 The lower tail; $\beta=d$

In this section we prove Theorem 2 in the critical cases where $\beta=d$. This includes planar Brownian motion and the one-dimensional symmetric Cauchy process.

By (2.19) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\alpha(s, t))=p_{1}(0)\{(s+t) \log (s+t)-s \log s-t \log t\} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{t}=-\gamma_{t}-p_{1}(0) t \log t \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have that $\eta_{0}=0$ and, as in the proof of (5.3), for any $s, t>0, \eta_{s+t} \leq$ $\eta_{s}+\eta_{t}^{\prime}$, where $\left\{\eta_{v}^{\prime} ; v \geq s\right\}$ is independent of $\left\{\eta_{u} ; u \leq s\right\}$ and $\eta_{t}^{\prime} \stackrel{d}{=} \eta_{t}$. So by the argument used to obtain (5.9) and (5.10) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\exp \left\{c \sup _{t \leq 1} \eta_{t}\right\}\right)<\infty, \quad \forall c>0 \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
$E\left(\exp \left\{\frac{1}{p_{1}(0)} \eta_{s+t}\right\}\right) \leq E\left(\exp \left\{\frac{1}{p_{1}(0)} \eta_{s}\right\}\right) E\left(\exp \left\{\frac{1}{p_{1}(0)} \eta_{t}\right\}\right), \quad \forall s, t \geq 0$.
Therefore there is a constant $-\infty \leq A<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log E\left(\exp \left\{\frac{1}{p_{1}(0)} \eta_{t}\right\}\right)=A \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log \left(t^{-t} E\left(\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{p_{1}(0)} \gamma_{t}\right\}\right)\right)=A \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $t=n$ to be an integer. By scaling and Stirling's formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left((n!)^{-1} E\left(\exp \left\{-\frac{n}{p_{1}(0)} \gamma_{1}\right\}\right)\right)=A+1 \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [12, Lemma 2.3]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log P\left\{\exp \left\{-\frac{1}{p_{1}(0)} \gamma_{1}\right\} \geq t\right\}=-e^{-A-1} \equiv-b_{\psi} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-1} \log P\left\{-\gamma_{1} \geq p_{1}(0) \log t\right\}=-L \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which proves (1.9). It remains to show that $b_{\psi}<\infty$. That $b_{\psi}<\infty$ for the $\beta=d=2$ case was shown in [4, Section 5]. A very similar proof takes care of the $\beta=d=1$ case. Note that the proof in [4] does not rely on the continuity of Brownian paths. Instead of the $t^{1 / 2}$ scaling there, we now have $t^{1}$ scaling. Instead of $1 /(2 \pi)$, we now have $p_{1}(0)$, which in the $\beta=d=1$ case is equal to $1 / \pi$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2 .

## 7 The limsup result

Proof of Theorem 3: We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 7 If $a<a_{\psi}$, there exists $C<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\sup _{t \leq 1} \gamma_{t} \geq u^{d / \beta}\right) \leq C e^{-a u}, \quad u>0 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 7: It follows from (4.8) and scaling that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t \leq 1} P\left(\gamma_{t} \geq u^{d / \beta}\right) \leq C e^{-a u}, \quad u>0 \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B([s, t])=: \gamma(\{(u, v) \mid s \leq u \leq v \leq t\})$. For any $s<t$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{t}-\gamma_{s}=\gamma([0, s ; s, t])+B([s, t]) \tag{7.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\gamma([0, s ; s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=}\left\{\alpha_{s, t-s}\right\}_{0}$ and $B([s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=} \gamma_{t-s}$.
It follows from (2.16) and (3.21) that for some $\theta>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{s<t \leq 1} E\left(\exp \left\{\theta\left|\frac{\gamma_{t}-\gamma_{s}}{(t-s)^{1-d / 2 \beta}}\right|^{\beta / d}\right\}\right)<\infty \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence by Chebycheff that for some $c>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\left|\gamma_{t}-\gamma_{s}\right| \geq u^{d / \beta}\right) \leq C e^{-c u /(t-s)^{\varsigma}}, \quad u>0 \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly in $0 \leq s<t \leq 1$ where $\zeta=\beta / d-1 / 2>0$. Our lemma then follows from the chaining argument used to in the proof of Proposition 4.1 of [4].

It is now straightforward to use scaling and Borel-Cantelli to get

## Lemma 8

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{t}}{t^{(2-d / \beta)}(\log \log t)^{d / \beta}} \leq a_{\psi}^{-d / \beta} \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 8: Let $M>1 / a_{\psi}$. Choose $\epsilon>0$ small and $q>1$ close to 1 so that $M\left(a_{\beta}-2 \epsilon\right) / q^{2 \zeta}>1$. Let $t_{n}=q^{n}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\left\{\sup _{s \leq t_{n}} \gamma_{s}>t_{n-1}^{(2-d / \beta)}\left(M \log \log t_{n-1}\right)^{d / \beta}\right\} \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 7 and scaling the probability of $C_{n}$ is bounded by

$$
c_{1} e^{-\left(a_{\beta}-\epsilon\right) M\left(t_{n-1} / t_{n}\right)^{2 \zeta} \log \log t_{n-1}} .
$$

By our choices of $\epsilon$ and $q$ this is summable, so by Borel-Cantelli the probability that $C_{n}$ happens infinitely often is zero. To complete the proof we point out that if $\gamma_{t}>t^{(2-d / \beta)}(M \log \log t)^{d / \beta}$ for some $t \in\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]$, then the event $C_{n}$ occurs. This completes the proof of Lemma 8.

To finish the proof of Theorem 3 we prove

## Lemma 9

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma_{t}}{t^{(2-d / \beta)}(\log \log t)^{d / \beta}} \geq a_{\psi}^{-d / \beta} \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 9: Let $a>a_{\beta}$ and let $a^{\prime}$ be the midpoint of $\left(a_{\beta}, a\right)$. Then by (4.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\gamma_{1} \geq(u \log \log t)^{d / \beta}\right) \geq c_{2} e^{-a^{\prime} u \log \log t}, \quad u>0 \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\delta>0$ be small enough so that $(1+\delta) a^{\prime} / a<1$ and set $t_{n}=e^{n^{1+\delta}}$. Recall that $B([s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=} \gamma_{t-s}$. Using (7.9) and scaling, it is straightforward to obtain

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(B\left(\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\right)>t_{n}^{(2-d / \beta)}\left(\frac{\log \log t_{n}}{a}\right)^{d / \beta}\right)=\infty
$$

Using the fact that different pieces of the path of a stable process are independent and Borel-Cantelli,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B\left(\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\right)}{t_{n}^{(2-d / \beta)}\left(\log \log t_{n}\right)^{d / \beta}}>\frac{1}{a^{d / \beta}}, \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\epsilon>0$. From (3.21), scaling, and Borel-Cantelli it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B\left(\left[0, t_{n-1}\right]\right)\right|=\left|\gamma_{t_{n-1}}\right|=O\left(\epsilon t_{n}^{(2-d / \beta)}\left(\log \log t_{n}\right)^{d / \beta}\right), \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{t_{n}} & =B\left(\left[0, t_{n}\right]\right)  \tag{7.12}\\
& =B\left(\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\right)+B\left(\left[0, t_{n-1}\right]\right)+\gamma\left(\left[0, t_{n-1}\right] ;\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and $\gamma([0, s] ;[s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=}\left\{\alpha_{s, t-s}\right\}_{0}$ with $\alpha_{s, t-s} \geq 0$, we have our result from (7.10), (7.11), (7.12) and the fact, from Theorem 6, that

$$
E a_{t_{n-1}, t_{n}-t_{n-1}} \leq E \alpha_{t_{n}}=c_{6} t_{n}^{(2-d / \beta)}=o\left(t_{n}^{(2-d / \beta)}\left(\log \log t_{n}\right)^{d / \beta}\right)
$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 9.
Lemmas 8 and Lemma 9 together imply Theorem 3.

## 8 The liminf result

Proof of Theorem 4: We consider first the case when $\beta<d$. Let $D_{t}=-\gamma_{t}$. We begin with a lemma.

Lemma 10 If $b<b_{\psi}$, there exists $C<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\sup _{t \leq 1} D_{t} \geq u^{d / \beta-1}\right) \leq C e^{-b u}, \quad u>0 \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 10: It follows from (1.8) and scaling (1.10) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow \infty} u^{-1} \log P\left\{D_{1} \geq u^{d / \beta-1}\right\}=-b_{\psi} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Scaling once more shows that for any $t>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(D_{t} \geq u^{d / \beta-1}\right) \leq C e^{-b u / t^{\rho}}, \quad u>0 \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\rho=(2-d / \beta) /(d / \beta-1)>0$. For any $s<t$

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{t}-D_{s} & =-\gamma([0, s ; s, t])-B([s, t])  \tag{8.4}\\
& \leq E\left(\alpha_{s, t-s}\right)-B([s, t]) \\
& \leq c_{\beta}(t-s)^{2-2 / \beta}-B([s, t])
\end{align*}
$$

with $-B([s, t])=: D_{t-s}$ and we have used Theorem 6

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(\alpha_{s, t-s}\right)=c_{\beta}\left[s^{2-2 / \beta}+(t-s)^{2-2 / \beta}-t^{2-2 / \beta}\right] \leq c_{\beta}(t-s)^{2-2 / \beta} . \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our lemma then follows from the chaining argument used to in the proof of Proposition 4.1 of [4].

It is now straightforward to use scaling and Borel-Cantelli to get

## Lemma 11

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_{t}}{t^{(2-d / \beta)}(\log \log t)^{d / \beta-1}} \leq b_{\psi}^{-(d / \beta-1)} \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 11: Let $M>1 / b_{\psi}$. Choose $\epsilon>0$ small and $q>1$ close to 1 so that $M\left(b_{\beta}-2 \epsilon\right) / q^{\rho}>1$. Let $t_{n}=q^{n}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{n}=\left\{\sup _{s \leq t_{n}} D_{s}>t_{n-1}^{(2-d / \beta)}\left(M \log \log t_{n-1}\right)^{d / \beta-1}\right\} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 7 and scaling the probability of $C_{n}$ is bounded by

$$
c_{1} e^{-\left(b_{\beta}-\epsilon\right) M\left(t_{n-1} / t_{n}\right)^{\rho} \log \log t_{n-1}} .
$$

By our choices of $\epsilon$ and $q$ this is summable, so by Borel-Cantelli the probability that $C_{n}$ happens infinitely often is zero. To complete the proof we point out that if $D_{t}>t^{(2-d / \beta)}(M \log \log t)^{d / \beta-1}$ for some $t \in\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]$, then the event $C_{n}$ occurs. This completes the proof of Lemma 11.

To finish the proof of Theorem 4 when $\beta<d$ we prove

## Lemma 12

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{D_{t}}{t^{(2-d / \beta)}(\log \log t)^{d / \beta-1}} \geq b_{\psi}^{-(d / \beta-1)} \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 12: Let $b>b_{\psi}$ and let $b^{\prime}$ be the midpoint of $\left(b_{\beta}, b\right)$. Then by (8.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(D_{1} \geq(u \log \log t)^{d / \beta-1}\right) \geq c_{2} e^{-b^{\prime} u \log \log t}, \quad u>0 \tag{8.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\delta>0$ be small enough so that $(1+\delta) b^{\prime} / b<1$ and set $t_{n}=e^{n^{1+\delta}}$. Recall that $B([s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=} \gamma_{t-s}$. Using (8.9) and scaling, it is straightforward to obtain

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(-B\left(\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\right)>t_{n}^{(2-d / \beta)}\left(\frac{\log \log t_{n}}{b}\right)^{d / \beta-1}\right)=\infty
$$

Using the fact that different pieces of the path of a stable process are independent and Borel-Cantelli,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-B\left(\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\right)}{t_{n}^{(2-d / \beta)}\left(\log \log t_{n}\right)^{d / \beta-1}}>\frac{1}{b^{d / \beta-1}}, \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\epsilon>0$. From (3.21), scaling, and Borel-Cantelli it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B\left(\left[0, t_{n-1}\right]\right)\right|=\left|\gamma_{t_{n-1}}\right|=O\left(\epsilon t_{n}^{(2-d / \beta)}\left(\log \log t_{n}\right)^{d / \beta-1}\right), \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{t_{n}} & =-B\left(\left[0, t_{n}\right]\right)  \tag{8.12}\\
& =-B\left(\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\right)-B\left(\left[0, t_{n-1}\right]\right)-\gamma\left(\left[0, t_{n-1}\right] ;\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\right)
\end{align*}
$$

and $\gamma([0, s] ;[s, t]) \stackrel{d}{=}\left\{\alpha_{s, t-s}\right\}_{0}$. Using (2.16)

$$
\begin{align*}
& P\left(\alpha\left(\left[0, t_{n-1}\right] ;\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\right)>t_{n}^{(2-d / \beta)}\right)  \tag{8.13}\\
& \leq P\left(\frac{\alpha\left(\left[0, t_{n-1}\right] ;\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\right)}{\left(t_{n-1}\left(t_{n}-t_{n-1}\right)\right)^{(1-d / 2 \beta)}}>\left(t_{n} / t_{n-1}\right)^{(1-d / 2 \beta)}\right) \\
& \leq e^{-\left(t_{n} / t_{n-1}\right)^{(\beta / d-1 / 2)}}
\end{align*}
$$

which is summable. Using Borel-Cantelli, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\left(\left[0, t_{n-1}\right] ;\left[t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right]\right)=o\left(t_{n}^{(2-d / \beta)}\left(\log \log t_{n}\right)^{d / \beta-1}\right) . \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this, (8.10) and (8.11) in (8.12) completes the proof of Lemma 12.

Lemmas 11 and 12 together imply Theorem 4 when $\beta<d$. The case of $\beta=d$ follows from (6.9) and the proof of [4, Theorem 1.5].
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