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# THE ISING MODEL LIMIT OF $\varphi^{4}$ LATTICE FIELDS 

JAY ROSEN

Abstract. We show that the $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ limit of $\lambda \phi^{4}$ lattice fields is an Ising
model.
I. Introduction. One of the basic problems of constructive quantum field theory concerns the existence and nontriviality of $\phi^{4}$ quantum fields in 4 space-time dimensions. One approach is to first study $\lambda \phi^{4}$ fields on a lattice, and then let the lattice spacing shrink to zero [4]. The case of $\lambda=0$ corresponds to the trivial free field. In this paper we prove that $\lambda=\infty$ corresponds to the Ising model. This result is easy to see in a finite volume, based on

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left(-\lambda\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right) d x / \int \exp \left(-\lambda\left(y^{2}-1\right)^{2}\right) d y \\
& \rightarrow \frac{\delta(x+1)}{2}+\frac{\delta(x-1)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Our contribution is to establish this result for the infinite volume lattice fields. This result indicates that the nontriviality of $\lambda \phi^{4}$ fields should depend on the nontriviality of the scaling limit, as the lattice spacing tends to zero, of the Ising model [4].

Related to our result is the fact that the scaling limit of the $x^{4}$ anharmonic oscillator is the one-dimensional continuum Ising model (Poisson process) [3]. In an entirely different direction, we note that the $\phi^{4}$ field can be approximated by ferromagnetic Ising models [5].
II. Ising and $\phi^{4}$ models. Ising models are defined in terms of probability measures on $\Omega=\{-1,1\}^{\mathbf{Z}^{d}}$. Let us call a configuration on $L \subseteq \mathbf{Z}^{d}$ a cylinder set which is determined by specifying the values of the coordinates $\sigma_{i}, i \in L$. Given a configuration $B$ on $\partial L=\{i \mid \operatorname{dist}(i, L)=1\}$ (boundary conditions), let $P_{L, B}(\cdot)$ be the probability which is concentrated on the cylinder sets with base $\{-1,1\}^{L}$, and such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{L, B}(A)=\exp \left(-H_{L, B}(A)\right) / \text { Normalization } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all configurations $A$ on $L$. Here

$$
H_{L, B}(A)=-\left.\beta \sum_{\substack{|-j|=1 \\ i \in L}} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\right|_{A \cap B}-\left.h \sum_{i \in L} \sigma_{i}\right|_{A},
$$

and the inverse temperature $\beta$ and magnetic field $h$ are fixed throughout this section. Later, we will use the probability $P_{L}(\cdot)$ obtained with

$$
H_{L}(A)=-\left.\beta \sum_{\substack{|i-j|=1 \\ i, j \in L}} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}\right|_{A}-\left.h \sum_{i \in L} \sigma_{i}\right|_{A}
$$

(free boundary conditions).
Any probability obtained as a weak limit of $P_{L, B(L)}(\cdot), L \uparrow \mathbf{Z}^{d}$, for some choice of $B(L)$ is called an Ising model probability. Among translation invariant probabilities on $\Omega$, the Ising model probabilities are those satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A \mid B)=\exp \left(-H_{L, \partial B}(A)\right) / \text { Normalization } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all finite configurations $A$ on $L, B$ on $L^{\prime}$ with $L^{\prime} \cap L=\varnothing, L^{\prime} \supseteq \partial L$. Here $\partial B$ is the configuration on $\partial L$ with $\left.\sigma_{i}\right|_{\partial B}=\left.\sigma_{i}\right|_{B}, i \in \partial L$. If $\Pi_{K}$ flips the spins $\sigma_{i}$ indexed by $i \in K$, (2) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\Pi_{K} A \mid B\right)=\exp \left(-H_{L, \partial B}\left(\Pi_{K} A\right)+H_{L, \partial B}(A)\right) P(A \mid B) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) and (3) are the $D L R$ equations [6], [7], [8]. $\phi^{4}$ lattice fields are defined in terms of probability measures on $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{Z}^{d}}$. Let $\mu_{L}^{\nu}(\cdot)$ be the probability measure which is concentrated on the cylinder sets with base $\mathbf{R}^{L}$, and such that

$$
\mu_{L}^{\nu}(A)=\int_{A} \frac{\exp \left(\mathscr{F}_{L}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{|L|}\right)-\Sigma_{i \in L}\left(x_{i}^{2}-1\right)^{2} / \nu\right) d x_{1}, \ldots, d x_{|L|}}{\text { Normalization }}
$$

Here

$$
\mathscr{H}_{L}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{|L|}\right)=-\beta \sum_{\substack{|i-j|=1 \\ i, j \in L}} x_{i} x_{j}+\left(d \beta+m_{0}^{2}\right) \sum_{i \in L} x_{i}^{2}-h \sum_{i \in L} x_{i} .
$$

Later, we will use the probability measure $\mu_{L, P}^{\nu}(\cdot)$ obtained with

$$
\mathcal{H}_{L, P}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{|L|}\right)=\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{\substack{|i-j|=1 \\ i, j \in L}}\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2}+m_{0}^{2} \sum_{i \in L} x_{i}^{2}-h \sum_{i \in L} x_{i}
$$

where $|i-j|_{T}$ is the distance from $i$ to $j$ on the torus $L$. The weak limit $\mu^{\nu}$ of $\mu_{L}^{\nu}$ as $L \uparrow \mathbf{Z}^{d}$ exists and is translation invariant [12, pp. 289,293]. It is easy to see that $\mu_{L}^{\nu}(\cdot) \rightarrow P_{L}(\cdot)$ as $\nu \rightarrow 0$, since

$$
\frac{\exp \left(-\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{2} / \nu\right) d x}{\text { Normalization }} \rightarrow \frac{\delta(x+1)}{2}+\frac{\delta(x-1)}{2}
$$

In the next section we prove an analogous statement for the infinite volume measures, $\mu^{\nu}$.

## III. The $\nu \rightarrow 0$ limit.

Theorem 1. Let $\nu_{j} \rightarrow 0$. Then $\left\{\mu^{\nu_{j}}\right\}$ is weakly compact, and every limit point is a translation invariant Ising model probability.

Corollary 1. If $h \neq 0$, or if $\beta$ is sufficiently small, $\mu^{\nu}$ converges as $\nu \rightarrow 0$ to
the unique translation invariant Ising model probability.
Corollary 2. If $d=2, \mu^{\nu}$ converges as $\nu \rightarrow 0$ to the unique translation invariant Ising model probability $P$ with $P\left(\sigma_{i}=1\right)=\frac{1}{2}$.

Corollary 1 follows from our theorem and the fact that if $h \neq 0$ or if $\beta>0$ is sufficiently small, there is a unique translation invariant Ising model [6], [9]. Similarly, Corollary 2 follows from the fact that in two dimensions the translation invariant Ising models are determined by $P\left(\sigma_{i}=1\right)$ [10].

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof proceeds in three steps. We first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0} \int \exp \left(\lambda\left(x_{i}^{2}-1\right)\right) d \mu^{\nu} \leqslant e^{2 d \beta} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

independently of $\lambda \geqslant 0$. This implies that $\left\{\mu^{\nu}\right\}$ is weakly compact [11] and that $x_{i}^{2} \leqslant 1 \mu$-a.e. for any limit probability $\mu$. In the second step we use a GKS inequality to show that, in fact, $x_{i}= \pm 1 \mu$-a.e. Finally, we prove that $\mu$, which is obviously translation invariant, satisfies (3).

To prove (4) we first establish

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \exp \left(\lambda x_{i}^{2}\right) d \mu^{\nu} \leqslant \lim _{L \uparrow \mathbf{Z}^{d}}\left[\int \exp \left(\lambda \sum_{i \in L} x_{i}^{2}\right) d \mu_{L, P}^{\nu}\right]^{1 /|L|} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L$ be the $d$-dimensional torus of circumference $2^{n}$, and let $A_{k}, k=$ $\phi, 0,1, \ldots, d-1$, be the operator on $L^{2}\left(\mathbf{R}^{2^{n}(d-1)}, d^{2^{n}(d-1)} x\right)$ with kernel

$$
A_{K}(x, y)=\int \exp \left(-\beta \sum_{i \in L^{\prime}}\left(x_{i}-z_{i}\right)^{2}-\beta \sum_{i \in L^{\prime}}\left(z_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}+a_{K}\right) d \mu_{L^{\prime}, P}^{\nu}(z)
$$

where $L^{\prime}$ is the $d-1$-dimensional torus with circumference $2^{n}$, and $a_{\phi}=0$,

$$
a_{0}=\lambda z_{(1, \ldots, 1)}^{2}, \quad a_{K}=\lambda \sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{K}=1}^{2^{n}} z_{\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{K}, 1, \ldots, 1\right)}^{2}
$$

Using

$$
\int \exp \left(-\beta\left(z_{i}-y\right)^{2}\right) \exp \left(-\beta\left(y-z_{i+1}\right)^{2}\right) d y=c \exp \left(-\beta / 2\left(z_{i}-z_{i+1}\right)^{2}\right)
$$

we see that we may write

$$
\int \exp \left(\lambda x_{i}^{2}\right) d \mu_{L, P}^{\nu}=\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n}-1} A_{0}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n}}\right)}
$$

Then, by repeated use of the cyclicity of traces, the invariance of our measure under lattice translation and rotations, and the Schwarz inequality for traces we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n-1}} A_{0}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n}}\right)} & =\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n-1}} A_{0} A_{\phi}^{2^{n-1}-1}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n}}\right)} \leqslant\left[\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n-1}-1} A_{0}^{2} A_{\phi}^{2^{n-1}-1}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n}}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left[\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n-1}} A_{0}^{2} A_{\phi}^{2^{n-1}-2}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n}}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant\left[\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{0}^{2^{n}}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n}}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2^{n}} \\
& =\left[\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n-1}} A_{1} A_{\phi}^{2^{n-1}-1}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n}}\right)}\right]^{1 / 2^{n}} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant\left[\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{1}^{2^{n}}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n}}\right)}\right]^{1 / 4^{n}} \\
& \leqslant \cdots \leqslant\left[\frac{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{d-1}^{2^{n}}\right)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A_{\phi}^{2^{n}}\right)}\right]^{1 /|L|}=\left[\int \exp \left(\lambda \sum_{i \in L} x_{i}^{2}\right) d \mu_{L, P}^{\nu}\right]^{1 /|L|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The GKS inequalities [12, p. 289] imply that $\int \exp \left(\lambda x_{i}^{2}\right) d \mu_{L}^{\nu} \leqslant$ $\int \exp \left(\lambda x_{i}^{2}\right) d \mu_{L, P}^{\nu}$ if $\lambda \geqslant 0$. (5) now follows on letting $L \uparrow \mathbf{Z}^{d}$.

Then we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\int \exp \left(\lambda \sum_{i \in L} x_{i}^{2}\right) d \mu_{L, P}^{\nu}\right]^{1 / L L \mid}} \\
& \quad=\left[\frac{\int \exp \left(-\mathcal{H}_{L, P}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{|L|}\right)-\sum\left(x_{i}^{2}-1\right)^{2} / \nu+\lambda \sum x_{i}^{2}\right) d x_{1}, \ldots, d x_{|L|}}{\int \exp \left(-\mathcal{H}_{L, P}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{|L|}\right)-\sum\left(x_{i}^{2}-1\right)^{2} / \nu\right) d x_{1} \ldots d x_{|L|}}\right]^{1 /|L|} \\
& \quad \leqslant \frac{\int \exp \left(h x+\left(\lambda-m_{0}^{2}\right) x^{2}-\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{2} / \nu\right) d x}{\int \exp \left(h x-\left(2 d \beta+m_{0}^{2}\right) x^{2}-\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{2} / \nu\right) d x}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used $\exp \left(-\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2}\right) \leqslant 1$ to decouple the integrand in the numerator, and $\left(x_{i}-x_{j}\right)^{2} \leqslant 2 x_{i}^{2}+2 x_{j}^{2}$ for the denominator. Our last inequality together with (5) implies (4), since $\exp \left(-\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{2} / \nu\right) d x / N$ $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\delta(x+1)+\delta(x-1))$ as $\nu \rightarrow 0$.

As we noted, (4) implies $x_{i}^{2} \leqslant 1 \mu$-a.e. for any limit probability $\mu$. However, the GKS inequalities imply [12, p. 286]

$$
\int x_{i}^{2} d \mu=\lim _{\nu_{k} \rightarrow 0} \int x_{i}^{2} d \mu^{\nu_{k}} \geqslant \lim _{\nu_{k} \rightarrow 0} \int x_{i}^{2} d \mu_{L}^{\nu_{k}}=1, \quad(L \ni i) .
$$

since $\exp \left(-\left(x^{2}-1\right)^{2} / \nu\right) d x / N \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\delta(x+1)+\delta(x-1))$ as $\nu \rightarrow 0$ implies $\mu_{L}^{\nu}(\cdot)$ converges to $P_{L}(\cdot)$. Since $\mu$ is a probability measure, $\int x_{i}^{2} d \mu \geqslant 1$ and $x_{i}^{2} \leqslant 1$ are compatible only if $x_{i}= \pm 1 \mu$-a.e.

To see that the limit probability $\mu$ on $\{-1,1\}^{\mathbf{Z}^{d}}$ satisfies (3), let us define $\pi_{K}$ for $K \subseteq \mathbf{Z}^{d}$ to be the operator on $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{Z}^{d}}$ which is defined coordinatewise and
takes $x_{i} \rightarrow-x_{i}$ if $i \in K$, and $x_{j} \rightarrow x_{j}$ if $j \notin K$. It is easy to check that

$$
d \mu^{\nu}\left(\pi_{K} x\right)=\exp \left(-\mathscr{H}_{L}\left(\pi_{K} x\right)+\mathcal{H}_{L}(x)\right) d \mu^{\nu}(x)
$$

for any $L \supseteq K \cup \partial K$. We note that $\exp \left(-\mathscr{H}_{L}\left(\pi_{K} x\right)+\mathscr{H}_{L}(x)\right)$ is well defined, since it depends only on those coordinates of $x$ which are indexed by $i \in K \cup \partial K$. Furthermore, it is independent of $\nu$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \mu\left(\pi_{K} x\right)=\exp \left(-\mathscr{K}_{L}\left(\pi_{K} x\right)+\mathscr{K}_{L}(x)\right) d \mu(x) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This will imply (3) once we verify that $\mu(B)>0$ for any finite configuration $B$, which will allow us to form $\mu(\cdot \mid B)$. Let $A$ be the configuration on the finite set $L$ which assigns +1 to all $\sigma_{i}, i \in L$. By GKS [12, p. 286],

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu(A) & =\int \prod_{i \in L}\left(1+x_{i}\right) / 2^{|L|} d \mu=\lim _{\nu_{k} \rightarrow 0} \int \prod_{i \in L}\left(1+x_{i}\right) / 2^{|L|} d \mu^{\nu_{k}} \\
& \geqslant \lim _{\nu_{k} \rightarrow 0} \int \prod_{i \in L}\left(1+x_{i}\right) / 2^{|L|} d \mu_{L}^{\nu_{k}}=P_{L}(A)>0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is positive by inspection. That $\mu(B)>0$ for any configuration $B$ on $L$ now follows from (6).
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