Casson invariants of random Heegaard splittings

Joseph Maher, with Alex Lubotzky and Conan Wu joseph.maher@csi.cuny.edu

College of Staten Island, CUNY

Mar 2014

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Existence result

M closed orientable 3-manifold, integral homology sphere

Casson invariant: $\lambda(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$

- $\lambda(S^3) = 0$
- $\lambda(\pm 1 \text{ surgery on trefoil}) = \pm 1$
- additive under connect sum $\lambda(M_1 \# M_2) = \lambda(M_1) + \lambda(M_2)$

Thm[LMW]: For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, for any $g \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$, there are infinitely many distinct hyperbolic integral homology spheres M_i with $\lambda(M_i) = k$ and of Heegaard genus g.

Heegaard splittings

Heegaard splitting: decomposition of M^3 into union of two handlebodies

handlebody: regular neighbourood of a graph in \mathbb{R}^3 , boundary S_g closed orientable surface of genus g



M determined by gluing map up to isotopy: M(h)

Heegaard genus(M): minimal genus of any Heegaard splitting

Random Heegaard splittings

Random walks on the mapping class group

$$G = MCG(S_g) = Homeo^+(S_g)/isotopy.$$

 μ finitely supported probability distribution on ${\it G}$

 s_i independent μ -distributed random variables

 $w_n = s_1 s_2 \dots s_n$

 $H = \langle \text{support}(\mu) \rangle$, require: H is a non-elementary subgroup of GLet h_0 be a gluing map which produces the 3-sphere S^3 .

A random Heegaard splitting is $M(w_nh_0)$

If $w_n \in \mathcal{T}$ Torelli subgroup ker $(G \to Sp(2g, \mathbb{Z}))$, then $M(w_nh_0)$ is an integral homology 3-sphere

Let $\mathcal{K} < \mathcal{T}$ be the group generated by Dehn twists in separating curves, the (first) Johnson kernel

[Morita] The map $\lambda \colon \mathcal{K} \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by $f \mapsto \lambda(M(fh_0))$ is a (surjective) homorphism.

If w_n is a symmetric random walk on $H < \mathcal{K}$, then $\lambda(w_n)$ is a symmetric random walk on \mathbb{Z}

$$\mathbb{P}(\lambda(M(w_nh_0))=k)\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

[LMW] There is a finitely generated subgroup $H < \mathcal{K}$ such that for any symmetric probability distribution μ generating H, the splitting distance of $M(w_nh_0)$ grows linearly with exponential decay, i.e. there are numbers c, K, L such that

$$\mathbb{P}(d_{sp}(M(w_nh_0)) \leq Ln) \leq Kc^n$$

C(S) complex of curves: simplicial complex

- vertices: isotopy classes of simple closed curves
- simplices: spanned by disjoint simple closed curves

[Masur-Minsky] C(S) is Gromov hyperbolic

The disc set

Identify S_g with ∂V boundary of handlebody V. The disc set D_V is the set of all simple closed curves in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ which bound discs in V



[Masur-Minsky] D_V is a quasiconvex subset of $\mathcal{C}(S)$

A Heegaard splitting $M(fh_0)$ determines two disc sets D and fh_0D .

Heegaard splitting distance: $d_{sp}(M(fh_0)) = d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(D, fh_0D)$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

[Kobayashi][Hempel][Perelman] if $d_{sp}(M(fh_0)) > 2$ then $M(fh_0)$ is hyperbolic

[Scharlemann-Tomova] if $d_{sp}(M(fh_0)) > 2g$ then the Heegaard genus of $M(fh_0)$ is equal to g

Proof of existence result:

$$\mathbb{P}(\lambda(M(w_nh_0))=k)\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\mathbb{P}(d_{sp}(M(w_nh_0))\leq 2g)\leq Kc^n$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Linear progress in C(S):



Exponential decay for shadows:

$$S_{x_0}(y,R) = \{x \in C(S) \mid (x \cdot y)_{x_0} \ge d_{C(S)}(x_0,y) - R\}$$

$$\mu_n(S_{\mathsf{x}_0}(y,R)) \leq \mathsf{K} \mathsf{c}^{\mathsf{d}_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(\mathsf{x}_0,y)-R}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Linear progress away from the disc set

Lemma:
$$\mathbb{P}(d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(D, w_n x_0) \leq Ln) \leq Kc^n$$

$$X_n = \lfloor d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(D, w_n x_0) / R \rfloor$$



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Local estimates:

• X_n large: $\mathbb{P}(X_{n+m} \leq X_n - k) \leq Kc^k$



• X_n small: [Kerckhoff] there is a maximal recurrent traintrack τ such that for any $w_n h_0 D$, τ may be split at most $N(S_g)$ times to τ' disjoint from $w_n h_0 D$



• X_n small: [Kerckhoff] there is a maximal recurrent traintrack τ such that for any $w_n h_0 D$, τ may be split at most $N(S_g)$ times to τ' disjoint from $w_n h_0 D$





Comparison Markov chain



This Markov chain has linear progress with exponential decay. (Calculate spectral radius)

Stochastic domination implies X_n has exponential progress with linear decay.