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- Random walks
- Random walks on the mapping class group

Theorem: A random walk on the mapping class group gives a pseudo-Anosov element with asymptotic probability one.

- Random Heegaard splittings

Theorem: A random Heegaard splitting is hyperbolic with asymptotic probability one.

A random walk on $\mathbb{Z}$


At time $t=0$ start at $w_{0}=0$

$$
w_{t+1}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{t}+1 \text { with probability } 1 / 2 \\
w_{t}-1 \text { with probability } 1 / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$



The nearest neighbour random walk on a (finite valence) graph:

- Start at a particular vertex at time 0 .
- At time $n$ jump to one of your nearest neighbours, chosen with equal probability.

Random walks on groups:
Pick a (symmetric) generating set $A$.
The Cayley graph of a finitely generated group is the graph with

- vertices: elements of the group
- edges: connect elements which differ by a generator

The graph depends on the choice of generating set $A$, but any two choices give quasi-isometric graphs.

Example of a Cayley graph:


Key example: the nearest neighbour random walk on a Cayley graph of the mapping class group.

- Start at the identity at time 0 .
- At time $n$ jump to one of your nearest neighbours, chosen with equal probability.

More generally: pick a probability distribution $\mu$ on $G$.
Consider the Markov chain with set $G$, and transition probabilities $p(x, y)=\mu\left(x^{-1} y\right)$.

Time 0: start at identity.
Time 1: distributed according to $\mu$.
Time 2: distributed according to $\mu^{2}=$ convolution of $\mu$ with itself.

$$
\mu^{2}(x)=\sum_{y \in G} \mu(y) \mu\left(y^{-1} x\right)
$$

Time n : distributed according to $\mu^{n}, n$-fold convolution of $\mu$ with itself.

Path space: $\left(G^{\mathbb{Z}_{+}}, \mathbb{P}\right)$, probability space.
$G^{\mathbb{Z}_{+}}$infinite product of $G$ 's.
A sample path $\omega \in G^{\mathbb{Z}_{+}}$is an infinite sequence of group elements corresponding to the locations of the random walk.

Projection $w_{n}: G^{\mathbb{Z}_{+}} \rightarrow G$ to the $n$-th factor is a random variable which gives the location of the sample path at time $n$.

The distribution of $w_{n}$ is given by $\mu^{n}$.
[Kolmogorov] This determines $\mathbb{P}$.
Key point: this enables us to talk about infinite length random walks.

Example: PSL(2,Z $)$


Sample paths converge to the boundary with probability one. This gives a measure on the boundary, called harmonic measure $\nu$. $\nu(X)=\mathbb{P}$ (sample paths which converge to points in $X$ )

This harmonic measure on $S^{1}$ is not Lebesgue measure.


$$
\frac{1}{a_{1}+\frac{1}{a_{2}+\ldots}} \longmapsto \overbrace{0.0}^{a_{1}} \overbrace{1 \ldots 0}^{a_{2}} \ldots
$$



Convergence to the boundary works for:
matrix groups, e.g. $\mathrm{SL}(\mathrm{n}, \mathbb{Z})$ [Furstenberg]

- random matrices are irreducible [Rivin, Kowalski]
$\delta$-hyperbolic groups [Kaimanovich-Woess]
- random elements are hyperbolic, translation length tends to infinity

Mapping class groups, braid groups [Kaimanovich-Masur]

- random elements are pseudo-Anosov [M]

The mapping class group of a surface $S$ is \{surface diffeomorphisms\}/isotopy.
$G=\operatorname{MCG}(S)=\operatorname{Diff}^{+}(S) / \operatorname{Diff}_{0}(S)$


The mapping class group is finitely generated by Dehn twists.


The surface $S$ may have boundary or punctures


The mapping class group of the $n$-punctured disc is also known as the braid group.

Thurston's classification of surface homeomorphisms

- Reducible:


The map fixes a disjoint collection of simple closed curves.

- Periodic:


Some power of the map is isotopic to the identity.

- Pseudo-Anosov:

Everything else...

Useful facts about the mapping class group.
[Masur-Minksy] The mapping class group is weakly relative hyperbolic.
$G$ finitely generated by $A$, gives word metric on $G$ (same as Cayley graph metric).
$\widehat{G}=G$ with word metric from an infinite generating set $A \cup\left\{H_{i}\right\}$. In this case $H_{i}=\operatorname{stab}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$, where $\alpha_{i}$ are representatives of simple closed curves under the action of $G$.


If $\widehat{G}$ is $\delta$-hyperbolic then we say that $G$ is weakly relatively hyperbolic (with respect to $\left\{H_{i}\right\}$ ).

Recall a metric space is $\delta$-hyperbolic if every geodesic triangle is $\delta$-thin, i.e. any side is contained in a $\delta$-neighbourhood of the other two.


Examples: hyperbolic space, trees, the complex of curves $\mathcal{C}(S)$.
[Masur-Minksy] show that the relative space $\widehat{G}$ is quasi-isometric to the complex of curves.

The complex of curves is a simplicial complex.

- vertices: isotopy classes of simple closed curves.
- simplices: spanned by disjoint simple closed curves.


Finite dimensional, but not locally finite.
[Masur-Minsky] the complex of curves is $\delta$-hyperbolic.

Isometries of $\delta$-hyperbolic spaces are

- elliptic, fix a point in the interior (periodic, reducible)
- parabolic (none of these)
- hyperbolic (pseudo-Anosov)

Gromov boundary: $\{$ set of quasi-geodesic rays $\} / \sim$ Two rays are equivalent if they stay a bounded distance apart.
[Klarreich] The Gromov boundary of the complex of curves is $\mathcal{F}_{\text {min }}$ the space of minimal foliations in PMF, Thurston's space of projective measured foliations.

PMF is a sphere of dimension $6 g-5, g=$ genus of $S$.
pseudo-Anosov maps act on $\mathcal{C}(S) \cup \mathcal{F}_{\text {min }}$ as translations along an axis with a unique pair of fixed points, the attracting and repelling fixed points.
[Kaimanovich-Masur, + Klarreich] A random walk on the mapping class group converges almost surely to a uniquely ergodic foliation in PMF, as long as the support of $\mu$ is a non-elementary subgroup. The resulting harmonic measure $\nu$ on $\mathcal{F}_{\text {min }}$ is non-atomic.
uniquely ergodic $\Rightarrow$ minimal
non-elementary: the subgroup contains a pair of pseudo-Anosov elements with distinct endpoints.

Recall $\nu(X)=$ proportion of sample paths which converge into $X$.
$\nu$ governs the long time behaviour of sample paths.

Theorem [Rivin, Kowalski]: The probability that $w_{n}(\omega)$ is pseudo-Anosov tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Consider the action on homology, i.e. map from $G$ to $\operatorname{Sp}(2 g, \mathbb{Z})$. [Casson-Bleiler] If image of $g$ is irreducible, no roots of unity as eigenvalues, characteristic polynomial not a power of a lower degree polynomial, then $g$ is pseudo-Anosov.

Theorem [M]: The probability that the translation length of $w_{n}(\omega)$ on $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is at most $K$ tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Requires support of $\mu$ generates a non-elementary subgroup not contained in a centralizer.

Translation length of $g: \lim \frac{1}{n} d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}\left(x, g^{n} x\right)$.

Sketch of proof.
Observation: if $X \subset G$ and limit set of $X$ has (harmonic) measure zero in $\mathcal{F}_{\text {min }}$, then the random walk is transient on $X$. (A sample path hits $X$ finitely many times almost surely.)

Let $R=$ elements of $G$ of translation length at most $K$. Then $\nu(\bar{R})=1$.

Let $R_{k}=k$-dense elements of $R$, i.e. $r \in R$ such that there is some other $r^{\prime} \in R$ such that $d_{G}\left(r, r^{\prime}\right) \leqslant k$.

Claim: $\nu\left(\bar{R}_{k}\right)=0$.

$\mathbb{P}\left(w_{n}(\omega) \in R\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(w_{n}(\omega) \in R_{k}\right)+\mathbb{P}\left(w_{n} \in R \backslash R_{k}\right)$

- $\mathbb{P}\left(w_{n}(\omega) \in R_{k}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by transience.
- $\mathbb{P}\left(w_{n}(\omega) \in R \backslash R_{k}\right) \leqslant 1 / k$

True for all $k$ implies $\mathbb{P}\left(w_{n}(\omega)\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

More details:
$\bar{R}_{k}=\bigcup \overline{C(g)}$, where word length of $g$ at most $k$.
$C(g)=$ centralizer of $g$, i.e. $h \in G$ such that $g h=h g$.

- $g$ pseudo-Anosov: $C(g)$ virtually cyclic, limit set is fixed points.
- $g$ reducible: centralizer bounded diameter in $\widehat{G}$, limit set empty.
- $g$ periodic: $\overline{C(g)}$ lower dimensional sphere.
[Nielsen] a finite cyclic subgroup of $G$ fixes a point in Teichmüller space $=$ set of hyperbolic structures on $S$.
$\Rightarrow$ finite cyclic groups realized by covering translations.
So fixed set is lower dimensional Teichmüller space inside original one, so limit set is a lower dimensional PMF inside original one. [ distance reducing maps $G \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(S) \rightarrow \widehat{G}$ ]

Relative conjugacy bounds:
If $a$ and $b$ are conjugate in $G$ then there is a conjugating word $w$ such that $|\widehat{w}| \leqslant K(|\widehat{a}|+|\widehat{b}|)$.
[Masur-Minksy] Version for pseudo-Anosov elements using word length.

This implies if $g$ is conjugate to a short word $s$, and $w$ is a shortest conjugating word in the relative metric, then the path $w s w^{-1}$ is a quasi-geodesic path, where the quasi-geodesic constants depend on the length of $s$.

$s$ has bounded length, so thin triangles implies if $w$ very long, then a final segment of $w$ fellow-travels with an initial segment of $w^{-1}$.
So red path is a short conjugate of $s$, so could have chosen a shorter conjugating word.

If $r \in R_{k}$, then there is $g$ of word length at most $k$ such that $r g=r^{\prime} \in R_{k}$, so $R_{k}$ is a finite union of $R \cap R g$.

Claim: $\overline{R \cap R g}=\overline{C(g)}$

$r=w s w^{-1}$ and $r^{\prime}=w^{\prime} s^{\prime} w^{\prime-1}$, paths are quasi-geodesic, so fellow travel. Write $w=x y, w^{\prime}=x y^{\prime}$, for $y, y^{\prime}$ of bounded length.
$x^{-1} g x$ short group element, so conjugate by short $z$ to $g$.
$x^{-1} g x=z g z^{-1} \Rightarrow g(x z)=(x z) g \Rightarrow x$ close to $C(g)$.

Random Heegaard splittings.


Theorem [M]: The probability that the splitting distance of $M\left(w_{n}\right)$ is at most $K$ tends to zero as $n$ tends to infinity.
Requires support of $\mu$ generates a subgroup which is dense in the boundary.

Given $S$ as the boundary of a handlebody $H$, the disc set $\Delta$ is the collection of simple closed curves which bound discs in $H$.

A Heegaard splitting has two handlebodies, with disc sets $\Delta$ and $w_{n} \Delta$.

Splitting distance: minimum distance between $\Delta$ and $w_{n} \Delta$ in $\mathcal{C}(S)$.
[T. Kobayashi;Hempel] If the splitting distance is more than two, then $M$ is irreducible, atoroidal and not Seifert fibered.
[Perelmann] Geometrization $\Rightarrow M$ is hyperbolic.
Corollary: Probability $M\left(w_{n}\right)$ is hyperbolic tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

[Kerckhoff] Limit set of $\Delta$ has harmonic measure zero.
[Masur-Minsky] Disc set is quasi-convex.
Need to understand (joint) distribution of attracting and repelling endpoints.

If $g$ is pseudo-Anosov let $\lambda^{+}(g)$ be the attracting fixed point and let $\lambda^{-}(g)$ be the repelling fixed point.

Define $\lambda_{n}: G^{\mathbb{Z}_{+}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\text {min }} \times \mathcal{F}_{\text {min }} \cup \varnothing$ by $\omega \mapsto\left(\lambda^{+}\left(w_{n}(\omega)\right), \lambda^{-}\left(w_{n}(\omega)\right)\right)$ if $w_{n}(\omega)$ is pseudo-Anosov.

Claim: $\lambda_{n} \rightarrow \nu \times \widetilde{\nu}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Reflected harmonic measure $\widetilde{\nu}$ is harmonic measure determined by the random walk generated by the reflected measure $\widetilde{\mu}(g)=\mu\left(g^{-1}\right)$.

Halfspace: $H(1, x)=\{y \in \widehat{G} \mid \widehat{d}(y, x) \leqslant \widehat{d}(y, 1)\}$.


If the translation length of $g$ is bigger than $K(\delta)$, then $\lambda^{+}(g) \in H(1, g)$, and $\lambda^{-}(g) \in H\left(1, g^{-1}\right)$.

So $\lambda_{n} \sim\left(w_{n}, w_{n}^{-1}\right)$.

$\mathbb{P}\left(w_{2 n}(\omega) \in H\left(1, w_{n}(\omega)\right)\right) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
$\mathbb{P}\left(w_{2 n}^{-1}(\omega) \in H\left(1, w_{2 n}^{-1} w_{n}(\omega)\right)\right) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
So $\left(w_{2 n}, w_{2 n}^{-1}\right) \sim\left(w_{n}, w_{2 n}^{-1} w_{n}\right)$.
If $w_{2 n}=s_{1} \ldots s_{n} s_{n+1} \ldots s_{2 n}$, then $w_{n}=s_{1} \ldots s_{n}$ and $w_{2 n}^{-1} w_{n}=s_{2 n}^{-1} \ldots s_{n+1}^{-1}$, are independent.

